

On the endpoints of De Leeuw restriction theorems

Martijn Caspers

Dedicated to Ben de Pagter's 65th birthday.

Abstract. We prove a De Leeuw restriction theorem for Fourier multipliers on certain quasi-normed spaces. The proof is based on methods that were recently used in order to resolve problems on perturbations of commutators.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000). MSC2010: 47B10, 47L20, 47A30.

Keywords. De Leeuw theorems, Fourier multipliers.

1. Introduction

In 1965 Karel de Leeuw proved three fundamental theorems on L_p -multipliers [Lee65]: his restriction theorem, lattice approximation theorem and compactification theorem. The strongest De Leeuw theorem is the compactification theorem, which shows that boundedness of an L_p -Fourier multiplier does not depend on the topology. More precisely, let $m \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be continuous and let $m_d \in \ell_\infty(\mathbb{R}_{\text{disc}}^n)$ be equal to m but then on \mathbb{R}^n with the discrete topology. Then, the Fourier multipliers $T_m : L_p(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $T_{m_d} : L_p(\mathbb{R}_{\text{Bohr}}^n) \rightarrow L_p(\mathbb{R}_{\text{Bohr}}^n)$ have the same norm. Here $\mathbb{R}_{\text{Bohr}}^n$ is the Bohr compactification of \mathbb{R}^n which can be viewed as the Pontrjagin dual group of $\mathbb{R}_{\text{disc}}^n$. In order to show this, De Leeuw proved that for the discrete subgroup \mathbb{Z}^n of \mathbb{R}^n and $m \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the symbol $m|_{\mathbb{Z}^n} = m|_{\mathbb{Z}^n}$ gives rise to a Fourier multiplier with norms related by,

$$\|T_{m|_{\mathbb{Z}^n}} : L_p(\mathbb{T}^n) \rightarrow L_p(\mathbb{T}^n)\| \leq \|T_m : L_p(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)\|, \quad 1 \leq p < \infty. \quad (1.1)$$

The inequality (1.1) is known as De Leeuw's restriction theorem. Shortly after [Lee65] De Leeuw theorems have been obtained for arbitrary locally compact abelian groups by Saeki [Sae70]. These theorems are widely applied in harmonic analysis and the analysis of singular integrals.

Recent developments in non-commutative integration motivated an extension of De Leeuw theorems in various contexts (see e.g. [CPPR15], [CPSZ18]). One of these motivations comes from the theory of perturbations of commutators. A central question in this theory (going back at least to Krein [Kre64]) asks the following. Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be Lipschitz. Is there an absolute constant C_{abs} such that for every $x \in B(H)$ and $A \in B(H)$ self-adjoint we have a commutator estimate,

$$\|[f(A), x]\| \leq C_{abs} \|[A, x]\|. \quad (1.2)$$

The question has a long history and is proven to be false [Far67], [Far68], [Far68], [Kat73], [Dav88], unless further differentiability conditions are imposed on f [BiSo67], [Pel85] or of the uniform is replaced by the Schatten \mathcal{S}_p -norm [PoSu11], [CMPS14]. Important relations (in fact equivalences) between commutator estimates and non-commutative Lipschitz functions were obtained in [DDPS97], [PoSu08] and the problem was recasted into the language of double operator integrals [dPWS02].

Nazarov and Peller [NaPe09] were the first ones to obtain a weak $(1, 1)$ estimate for commutators (this would be the optimal solution to estimates of the form (1.2)). They showed that if $[A, x]$ is in \mathcal{S}_1 and has rank 1, then $[f(A), x] \in L_{1,\infty}$ and moreover we have the estimate

$$\|[f(A), x]\|_{1,\infty} \leq C_{abs} \|[A, x]\|_1.$$

The question whether the rank 1 condition could be removed remained open for quite some time. The question was resolved in [CPSZ18] (see also [CPSZ15] for the absolute value map). The proof is based on two important ingredients: (1) Parcet's semi-commutative Calderón-Zygmund theorem [Par09], [Cad17], (2) a De Leeuw theorem for $L_{1,\infty}$ -spaces which was implicitly proved in [CPSZ18].

The aim of this text is to prove this De Leeuw theorem more explicitly and in a more general context of symmetric spaces (with conditions), see [DDP89]. More precisely, we show that for \mathbb{Z}^n as a discrete subgroup of \mathbb{R}^n and $\|\cdot\|_\star$ a suitable norm on both $L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $L_\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)$ (to be made precise) we get for $m \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ smooth that,

$$\|T_m : L_1(\mathbb{T}^n) \rightarrow L_\star(\mathbb{T}^n)\| \preceq \|T_m : L_1(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L_\star(\mathbb{R}^n)\|.$$

We also prove the analogous statement in the completely bounded setting. Natural examples of such norms $\|\cdot\|_\star$ are weak L_1 -norms and $M_{1,\infty}$ -norms. We state some open questions in this direction in Section 4.

2. Preliminaries and notation

2.1. General notation

For von Neumann algebra theory we refer to [Tak02]. We write \prec for an inequality that holds up to some constant and \prec_n for an inequality that holds up to a constant only depending on the dimension n . Let $B_r \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be the ball with radius r . For a function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we set $f_s(t) = f(t - s)$. The circle \mathbb{T} is identified

with the unit circle in \mathbb{C} and is equipped with the Haar measure with total mass 2π . We set harmonic functions $e_\gamma(z) = z^\gamma, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}, z \in \mathbb{T}$. We say that a function $\widehat{f} = \sum_{\gamma \in F} c_\gamma e_\gamma, c_\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ on \mathbb{T} has finite frequency support if F is finite.

2.2. Symmetric spaces

Let \mathcal{N} be a semi-finite von Neumann algebra with faithful, normal tracial weight $\tau : \mathcal{N}^+ \rightarrow [0, \infty]$. Let $T(\mathcal{N})$ be the space of closed, densely defined operators that are affiliated with \mathcal{N} . A closed densely defined operator x is in $T(\mathcal{N})$ if for the polar decomposition $x = u|x|$ we have that $u \in \mathcal{N}$ and all spectral projections $E_{[0, \lambda]}(|x|), \lambda > 0$ are in \mathcal{N} . Let $T_\tau(\mathcal{N})$ be the subspace of τ -measurable operators, namely all $x \in \mathcal{N}$ for which there exists a τ -finite projection $p \in \mathcal{N}$ such that xp is bounded. $T_\tau(\mathcal{N})$ forms a $*$ -algebra with respect to the strong sum and strong product (closures of sums and products).

For $x \in T_\tau(\mathcal{N})$ we define the decreasing rearrangement function,

$$\mu_t(x) = \inf \{ \|xp\| \mid p \in \mathcal{N} \text{ projection with } \tau(1-p) \leq t \}.$$

We have $\mu_t(x) = \mu_t(|x|)$. In this paper we consider quasi-norms $\|\cdot\|_\star$ where \star is to be specified. Our prime examples are,

$$L_{1,\infty}(\mathcal{N}) = \{x \in T_\tau(\mathcal{N}) \mid \|x\|_{1,\infty} < \infty\},$$

where

$$\|x\|_{1,\infty} = \sup_{t>0} t\mu_t(x), \quad x \in T_\tau(\mathcal{N}).$$

And further,

$$M_{1,\infty}(\mathcal{N}) = \{x \in T_\tau(\mathcal{N}) \mid \|x\|_{M_{1,\infty}} < \infty\},$$

where

$$\|x\|_{M_{1,\infty}} = \sup_{t>0} \frac{1}{\log(1+t)} \int_0^t \mu_s(x) ds.$$

We have relations,

$$\|x\|_{M_{1,\infty}} \leq \|x\|_{1,\infty} \leq \|x\|_1, \quad x \in T_\tau(\mathcal{N}).$$

In general, we take $\|\cdot\|_\star : T_\tau(\mathcal{N}) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ and set

$$L_\star(\mathcal{N}) = \{x \in T_\tau(\mathcal{N}) \mid \|x\|_\star < \infty\},$$

which is assumed to be a vector space. Moreover, we restrict $\|\cdot\|_\star$ to $L_\star(\mathcal{N})$ and assume it has the following properties.

Assumptions on $\|\cdot\|_\star$.

1. $\|\cdot\|_\star$ is a (non-degenerate) quasi-norm. In particular, there is a constant $K \geq 1$ such that we have the quasi-triangle inequality,

$$\|x + y\|_\star \leq K(\|x\|_\star + \|y\|_\star), \quad \forall x, y \in L_\star(\mathcal{N}).$$

2. $\|\cdot\|_*$ satisfies the following dilation invariance property. There exists a constant $K > 0$ such that,

$$K^{-1}\lambda\|x\|_* \leq \|y\|_* \leq K\lambda\|x\|_*,$$

for any $\lambda > 0$ and for any $x, y \in L_*(\mathcal{N})$ such that $\mu_t(x) = \mu_{\lambda t}(y)$.

3. $L_*(\mathcal{N})$ is complete with respect to $\|\cdot\|_*$.

Property (2) implies that (up to a constant) the quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_*$ is rearrangement invariant. Below we need to make a stronger assumption on the norm to relate norms of different spaces.

It is clear that the $L_{1,\infty}$ -quasi-norm satisfies these properties (see also [Ran05, Lemma 1.4]). Further, so does the $M_{1,\infty}$ -quasi norm by the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. $\|\cdot\|_{M_{1,\infty}}$ satisfies properties (1), (2) and (3) above.

Proof. By [CGRS12, Theorem 2.1] (see also [CRSS07, Theorem 4.5]) the $M_{1,\infty}$ -quasi norm on $T_\tau(\mathcal{N})$ is equivalent to the norm,

$$\|x\|_{\mathcal{Z}_1} = \sup_{p>1} (p-1)\|x\|_p, \quad x \in \mathcal{N}.$$

Suppose that x and y are elements of \mathcal{N} and suppose there exists $\lambda > 0$ such that for the decreasing rearrangements for every $t > 0$ we have $\mu_t(x) = \mu_{\lambda t}(y)$. Then because the $\|\cdot\|_p$ -norm has the property that $\|x\|_p = \lambda^{1/p}\|y\|_p$ we find that $\|x\|_{\mathcal{Z}_1} = \|y\|_{\mathcal{Z}_1}$. By equivalence of \mathcal{Z}_1 - and $M_{1,\infty}$ -norms this shows that there exists an absolute constant $K > 0$ such that

$$K^{-1}\lambda\|x\|_{M_{1,\infty}} \leq \|y\|_{M_{1,\infty}} \leq K\lambda\|x\|_{M_{1,\infty}}.$$

Completeness of \mathcal{Z}_1 (and hence $M_{1,\infty}$) follows from completeness of L_p -spaces and the quasi-norm property may be derived from [Ran05, Lemma 1.4]. \square

Remark 2.2. Suppose that for all $x \in \mathcal{N}$ we have that $\|x\|_p \leq \|x\|_*$ for some $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Then $p = 1$ because otherwise (2) would be violated. This shows that the spaces to which our De Leeuw theorems apply can be viewed as end-point spaces at $p = 1$.

2.3. Fourier multipliers

Let G be a locally compact abelian group. We shall only be concerned with $\mathsf{G} = \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mathsf{G} = \mathbb{T}^n$. Let $\widehat{\mathsf{G}}$ be the Pontrjagin dual group of characters. So $\widehat{\mathbb{R}^n} = \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\widehat{\mathbb{T}^n} = \mathbb{T}^n$. Further, let \mathcal{F} be the Fourier transform $L_2(\mathsf{G}) \rightarrow L_2(\widehat{\mathsf{G}})$. Consider a function $m \in L_\infty(\mathsf{G})$ and set $T_m : L_2(\mathsf{G}) \rightarrow L_2(\mathsf{G})$ by $T_m = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \circ m \circ \mathcal{F}$ where we view m as a multiplication operator. Concretely, $T_m = m(\nabla)$ where $\nabla = -i(\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_n})$ is the gradient operator on either $\mathsf{G} = \mathbb{R}^n$ or $\mathsf{G} = \mathbb{T}^n$.

If the operator T_m extends to a bounded map $L_p(\mathsf{G}) \rightarrow L_p(\mathsf{G})$ for some $1 \leq p < \infty$ then we call m an L_p -Fourier multiplier or briefly an L_p -multiplier. We have the translational behaviour of an L_p -multiplier $m \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$:

$$T_m(fe_s) = T_{m_s}(f)e_s, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}^n, f \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad (2.1)$$

with $m_s(t) = m(t-s)$. Similarly, we will say that m is an $L_1 - L_*$ multiplier if for every $f \in L_1 \cap L_2$ we have that $T_m(f) \in L_*$ and further there exists a constant $K > 0$ such that $\|T_m(f)\|_* \leq K\|f\|_1$. The infimum over such $K > 0$ is then the norm $\|T_m : L_1 \rightarrow L_*\|$.

Remark 2.3. Multipliers $L_1 \rightarrow L_{1,\infty}$ are extensively studied in the context of Calderón-Zygmund theory [Gra04]. The (weaker) multipliers $L_1 \rightarrow M_{1,\infty}$ naturally occur in problems finding the best constants of certain commutative and non-commutative estimates. See [CMPS14, Corollary 5.6] for such an application.

3. De Leeuw restriction theorems at the endpoints

3.1. Symmetric quasi-norms

Let \mathcal{M} be a semi-finite von Neumann algebra. In this section we presume that $\|\cdot\|_*$ is a quasi-norm on either $L_*(\mathcal{M} \otimes L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n))$ and $L_*(\mathcal{M} \otimes L_\infty(\mathbb{T}^n))$ satisfying the properties (1) and (2). We will not distinguish in notation to view $\|\cdot\|_*$ on either $L_*(\mathcal{M} \otimes L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n))$ or $L_*(\mathcal{M} \otimes L_\infty(\mathbb{T}^n))$. However, we will impose the following natural condition relating quasi-norms of different spaces.

Assumption: If $x \in L_*(\mathcal{M} \otimes L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n))$ and $y \in L_*(\mathcal{M} \otimes L_\infty(\mathbb{T}^n))$ have decreasing rearrangements such that $\mu_t(x) \leq \mu_t(y)$ then $\|x\|_* \leq \|y\|_*$. In particular, the quasi-norms on the spaces $L_*(\mathcal{M} \otimes L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n))$ and $L_*(\mathcal{M} \otimes L_\infty(\mathbb{T}^n))$ themselves are rearrangement invariant (i.e. only depend on the decreasing rearrangement).

For functions $f_i : \mathbb{R}^{n_i} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ we write $f_1 \otimes f_2 : \mathbb{R}^{n_1+n_2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ for $(f_1 \otimes f_2)(s_1, s_2) = f_1(s_1)f_2(s_2)$. Let $G_k^{(n)} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the L_1 -normalized Gaussian function set by

$$G_k(\xi) = \frac{1}{k\sqrt{\pi}} \exp(-|\xi|^2/k^2), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}.$$

and then $G_k^{(n)} = G_k^{\otimes n}$. For $f : \mathbb{T}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ set the periodization $\text{per}(f) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ by $f(x) = f(x \bmod 2\pi)$ where we identify \mathbb{T}^n with the block interval $[0, 2\pi)^n$ and the mod 2π is taken coordinate-wise. Then set,

$$\Phi_k(f)(t) = \text{per}(f)(t)G_k^{(n)}(t), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}, t \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

We say that an element $x \in \mathcal{M} \otimes L_\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)$ has finite frequency support if $x = \sum_{\gamma \in F} x_\gamma \otimes e_\gamma$ with F finite.

Lemma 3.1. *For $x \in L_{1,\infty}(\mathcal{M} \otimes L_\infty(\mathbb{T}^n))$ we have that $\Phi_k(x) \in L_{1,\infty}(\mathcal{M} \otimes L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n))$. Moreover, $\|x\|_{1,\infty} \prec_n \|\Phi_k(x)\|_{1,\infty}$.*

Proof. As $\Phi_k(|x|) = |\Phi_k(x)|$ we may assume without loss of generality that $x \geq 0$ by considering $|x|$ instead. Set constants $c_n = \pi^{n/2}e^{-1}$. We estimate,

$$c_n k^{-n} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^n, |l| \leq k-1} \chi_{2\pi l + [0, 2\pi)^n} \leq G_k^{(n)},$$

so that,

$$c_n k^{-n} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^n, |l| \leq k-1} \chi_{2\pi l + [0, 2\pi]^n} \text{per}(x) \leq G_k^{(n)} \text{per}(x).$$

Taking $\|\cdot\|_\star$ -quasi-norms we find,

$$c_n k^{-n} \left\| \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^n, |l| \leq k-1} \chi_{2\pi l + [0, 2\pi]^n} \text{per}(x) \right\|_\star \leq \|G_k^{(n)} \text{per}(x)\|_\star = \|\Phi_k(x)\|_\star. \quad (3.1)$$

Set,

$$\lambda_n = \#\{l \in \mathbb{Z}^n \mid |l| \leq k-1\}.$$

Note that $\lambda_n \approx (k-1)^n$. Then,

$$\mu_t(c_n k^{-n} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^n, |l| \leq k-1} \chi_{2\pi l + [0, 2\pi]^n} \text{per}(x)) = c_n k^{-n} \mu_{\lambda_n^{-1} t}(x).$$

Therefore, by our assumptions on $\|\cdot\|_\star$ the left hand side of (3.1) is up to a constant equal to,

$$c_n k^{-n} \lambda_n \|x\|_\star \approx c_n k^{-n} (k-1)^n \|x\|_\star.$$

As $k^{-n} (k-1)^n \rightarrow 1$ if $k \rightarrow \infty$ this concludes that for all k we have $c_n \|x\|_{1, \infty} \prec \|\Phi_k(x)\|_{1, \infty}$. This concludes the lemma. \square

Lemma 3.2. *For $x \in L_1(\mathcal{M} \otimes L_\infty(\mathbb{T}^n))$ we have that $\Phi_k(x) \in L_1(\mathcal{M} \otimes L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n))$. Moreover, $\lim_k \|\Phi_k(x)\|_1 = \|x\|_1$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that x is positive by replacing it with $|x|$. For $l \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ we let again $A_l = 2\pi l + [0, 2\pi]^n \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$. We set the functions G_k^+ and G_k^- on \mathbb{Z}^n by,

$$G_k^+(l) = \max_{s \in A_l} G_k^{(n)}(s), \quad G_k^-(l) = \min_{s \in A_l} G_k^{(n)}(s).$$

We estimate,

$$\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \text{per}(x) \chi_{A_l} G_k^-(l) \leq \Phi_k(x) \leq \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \text{per}(x) \chi_{A_l} G_k^+(l). \quad (3.2)$$

And so the same estimates hold after taking the L_1 -norm. We further get,

$$\left\| \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \text{per}(x) \chi_{A_l} G_k^\pm(l) \right\|_1 = \left\| \bigoplus_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^n} G_k^\pm(l) x \right\|_{L_1(\oplus_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \mathcal{M} \otimes L_\infty(\mathbb{T}^n))} = \|x\|_1 \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^n} G_k^\pm(l).$$

Then as we have more and more refined approximations,

$$\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^n} G_k^\pm(l) = \frac{1}{k^n} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \max_{s \in A_l} G_1^\pm\left(\frac{s}{k}\right),$$

has limit $\|G_1^{(n)}\|_1 = 1$ for both \pm either $+$ or $-$. We conclude from (3.2) that

$$\|x\|_1 \leq \lim_k \|\Phi_k(x)\|_1 \leq \|x\|_1.$$

\square

We define the Fourier algebra (see also [Eym64]) as,

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathcal{F}(L_1(\widehat{\mathbb{R}^n})).$$

So $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ consists of all functions in $C_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ whose (distributional) Fourier transform lies in $L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Every $m \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is in particular an $L_1 \rightarrow L_1$ Fourier multiplier and $T_m(f) = \widehat{m} * f$ with $\widehat{m} = \mathcal{F}(m)$. Moreover, such multipliers are completely bounded and therefore for any (semifinite) von Neumann algebra \mathcal{M} we obtain a bounded map $(\text{id}_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes T_m) : L_1(\mathcal{M} \otimes L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)) \rightarrow L_1(\mathcal{M} \otimes L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n))$, see also [CaHa85] for these results as well as far reaching generalizations. For $m \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we write $m_d \in c_0(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ for its discretization $m_d = m|_{\mathbb{Z}^n}$ (restriction to \mathbb{Z}^n).

Proposition 3.3. *Let $m \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and let $x \in L_\infty(\mathbb{T}^n, \mathcal{M}) \simeq \mathcal{M} \otimes L_\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)$ have finite frequency support. We have that,*

$$\|\Phi_k((\text{id}_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes T_{m_d})(x)) - (\text{id}_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes T_m)(\Phi_k(x))\|_1 \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. By the triangle inequality for $\|\cdot\|_1$ and the translation behaviour (2.1) of Fourier multipliers we may assume without loss of generality that $x = x_0 \otimes e_0$, $x_0 \in \mathcal{M}$. In fact, this shows that we may assume that $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{C}$ and $x = e_0$. Suppose that $\widehat{m} = \mathcal{F}(m)$ is positive and $\|\widehat{m}\|_1 = 1$. Each multiplier in $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ may be written as a linear combination of four positive multipliers in $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. It follows that $m(0) = \|\widehat{m}\|_1 = 1$. So it remains to prove that,

$$\|G_k^{(n)} - T_m(G_k^{(n)})\|_1 \rightarrow 0.$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and let $B_r \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a ball such that $\|\widehat{m}\chi_{B_r} - \widehat{m}\|_1 \leq \varepsilon$. Set $\widehat{m}_r = \widehat{m}\chi_{B_r}$. Then,

$$\|(\widehat{m} - \widehat{m}_r) * G_k^{(n)}\|_1 \leq \|\widehat{m} - \widehat{m}_r\|_1 \|G_k^{(n)}\|_1 \leq \varepsilon.$$

Further, we have

$$T_{m_r}(G_k^{(n)}) = \widehat{m}_r * G_k^{(n)},$$

and

$$\min_{|s| \leq r} G_k^{(n)}(t+s) \leq (\widehat{m}_r * G_k^{(n)})(t) \leq \max_{|s| \leq r} G_k^{(n)}(t+s).$$

We therefore get, with G'_k the gradient of G_k ,

$$G_k^{(n)}(t) - r \max_{|s| \leq r} |G_k^{(n)'}(t+s)| \leq (\widehat{m}_r * G_k^{(n)})(t) \leq G_k^{(n)}(t) + r \max_{|s| \leq r} |G_k^{(n)'}(t+s)|.$$

We find,

$$|G_k^{(n)} - \widehat{m}_r * G_k^{(n)}| \leq r \max_{|s| \leq r} |G_k^{(n)'}(\cdot + s)|.$$

Further, the right hand side of this inequality converges to 0 in the $\|\cdot\|_1$ -norm as $k \rightarrow \infty$. In all we conclude that for $\varepsilon > 0$ for k large we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \|G_k^{(n)} - T_m(G_k^{(n)})\|_1 &\leq \|G_k^{(n)} - T_{m_r}(G_k^{(n)})\|_1 + \|(T_m - T_{m_r})(G_k^{(n)})\|_1 \\ &\leq \|G_k^{(n)} - \widehat{m}_r * G_k^{(n)}\|_1 + \|\widehat{m} - \widehat{m}_r\|_1 \leq 2\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

□

Now we arrive at the following De Leeuw restriction theorem.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $m \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and let $m_d = m|_{\mathbb{Z}^n} \in c_0(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ be its restriction. If $\text{id}_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes T_m$ is bounded as a multiplier $L_1(\mathcal{M} \otimes L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)) \rightarrow L_\star(\mathcal{M} \otimes L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n))$. Then also $\text{id}_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes T_{m_d}$ is bounded as a multiplier $L_1(\mathcal{M} \otimes L_\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)) \rightarrow L_\star(\mathcal{M} \otimes L_\infty(\mathbb{T}^n))$. Further,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \| \text{id}_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes T_{m_d} : L_1(\mathcal{M} \otimes L_\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)) \rightarrow L_{1,\infty}(\mathcal{M} \otimes L_\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)) \| \\ & \prec \| \text{id}_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes T_m : L_1(\mathcal{M} \otimes L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)) \rightarrow L_{1,\infty}(\mathcal{M} \otimes L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)) \|. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $x \in L_1(\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathbb{T}^n)$ with finite frequency support. We get by Lemma 3.1, Proposition 3.3 and Lemma 3.2 respectively that,

$$\begin{aligned} \| (\text{id}_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes T_{m_d})(x) \|_{1,\infty} & \prec \limsup_k \| \Phi_k((\text{id}_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes T_{m_d})(x)) \|_{1,\infty} \\ & \prec \limsup_k \| (\text{id}_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes T_m)(\Phi_k(x)) \|_{1,\infty} \\ & \quad + \| \Phi_k((\text{id}_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes T_{m_d})(x)) - (\text{id}_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes T_m)(\Phi_k(x)) \|_{1,\infty} \\ & \leq \limsup_k \| (\text{id}_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes T_m)(\Phi_k(x)) \|_{1,\infty} \\ & \quad + \| \Phi_k((\text{id}_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes T_{m_d})(x)) - (\text{id}_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes T_m)(\Phi_k(x)) \|_1 \\ & = \limsup_k \| (\text{id}_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes T_m)(\Phi_k(x)) \|_{1,\infty} \\ & \leq \| (\text{id} \otimes T_m) : L_1 \rightarrow L_{1,\infty} \| \limsup_k \| \Phi_k(x) \|_1 \\ & = \| T_m : L_1 \rightarrow L_{1,\infty} \| \| x \|_1. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

This concludes our proof from the following density argument. The elements in $L_1(\mathcal{M} \otimes L_\infty(\mathbb{T}^n))$ with finite frequency support are dense in $L_1(\mathcal{M} \otimes L_\infty(\mathbb{T}^n))$ (this follows directly from Fejér's theorem [CSZ18, Lemma A.2] for example). Then let $x \in L_1(\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathbb{T}^n)$ and let $x_n \in L_1(\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathbb{T}^n)$ be such that $x_n \rightarrow x$ and x_n having finite frequency support. Then by (3.3) we see that $(\text{id}_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes T_{m_d})(x_n)$ is Cauchy and hence converges within $L_\star(\mathcal{M} \otimes L_\infty(\mathbb{T}^n))$ which is assumed to be complete. Say that the limit is $T(x)$. If x is also in $L_2(\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathbb{T}^n)$ then also the approximating sequence x_n can be taken in $L_2(\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathbb{T}^n)$ ([CSZ18, Remark A.1]). Then $T(x) = (\text{id}_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes T_m)(x)$. So the multiplier is an extension of the L_2 -multiplier. □

We extend the result to smooth multipliers.

Theorem 3.5. *Let $m \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ be smooth on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ and let $m_d = m|_{\mathbb{Z}^n} \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ be its restriction. If $\text{id}_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes T_m$ is bounded as a multiplier $L_1(\mathcal{M} \otimes L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)) \rightarrow L_\star(\mathcal{M} \otimes L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n))$. Then also $\text{id}_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes T_{m_d}$ is bounded as a multiplier $L_1(\mathcal{M} \otimes L_\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)) \rightarrow L_\star(\mathcal{M} \otimes L_\infty(\mathbb{T}^n))$. Moreover,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \| \text{id}_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes T_{m_d} : L_1(\mathcal{M} \otimes L_\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)) \rightarrow L_\star(\mathcal{M} \otimes L_\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)) \| \\ & \prec \| \text{id}_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes T_m : L_1(\mathcal{M} \otimes L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)) \rightarrow L_\star(\mathcal{M} \otimes L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)) \|. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let φ_k be Schwartz functions such that $\varphi_k(0) = 0$, such that for all $s \in B_k \setminus B_{\frac{1}{k}}$ we have $\varphi_k(s) = 1$ and finally such that $\|\widehat{\varphi}_k\|_1$ is uniformly bounded in k , say by a constant A . Such functions exist as was justified in [CPSZ18, Footnote 5]. We have that,

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{m\varphi_k} : L_1 \rightarrow L_\star\| &\leq \|T_{\varphi_k} : L_1 \rightarrow L_1\| \|T_m : L_1 \rightarrow L_\star\| \\ &\leq \|\widehat{\varphi}_k\| \|T_m : L_1 \rightarrow L_\star\|. \end{aligned}$$

By construction $m\varphi_k$ is Schwartz. Let $x \in L_1(\mathcal{M} \otimes L_\infty(\mathbb{T}^n))$ with finite frequency support. Let \mathcal{E}_0 be the conditional expectation of $L_\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)$ onto $\mathbb{C}1_{\mathbb{T}^n}$. It extends to a complete contraction of $L_1(\mathbb{T}^n)$ onto $\mathbb{C}1_{\mathbb{T}}$. Set $\mathcal{E}_0(x) = x_0$ and $x_1 = x - x_0$. So,

$$\|(\text{id}_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes T_{m_d})(x)\|_\star \leq \|(\text{id}_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes T_{m_d})(x_0)\|_\star + \|(\text{id}_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes T_{m_d})(x_1)\|_\star.$$

We have that,

$$\|(\text{id}_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes T_{m_d})(x_0)\|_\star \prec |m_d(0)| \|x_0\|_1 \leq |m_d(0)| \|x\|_1.$$

Further, we get for k large such that the frequency support of x_1 lies in B_k that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\text{id}_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes T_{m_d})(x_1)\|_\star &= \|(\text{id}_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes T_{m_d\varphi_k})(x_1)\|_\star \\ &\prec \|(\text{id}_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes T_{m\varphi_k}) : L_1 \rightarrow L_\star\| \|x_1\|_1 \\ &\prec \|\widehat{\varphi}_k\| \|(\text{id}_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes T_m) : L_1 \rightarrow L_\star\| \|x_1\|_1 \\ &\leq A \|(\text{id}_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes T_m) : L_1 \rightarrow L_\star\| \|x\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude by density of the functions with finite frequency support in $L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, just as in the proof of Theorem 3.4. \square

We single out the commutative case.

Corollary 3.6. *Let $m \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ be smooth on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ and let $m_d = m|_{\mathbb{Z}^n} \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ be its restriction. If T_m is $L_1 \rightarrow L_\star$ -bounded then T_{m_d} is $L_1 \rightarrow L_\star$ -bounded. Moreover,*

$$\|T_{m_d} : L_1(\mathbb{T}^n) \rightarrow L_\star(\mathbb{T}^n)\| \prec \|T_m : L_1(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L_\star(\mathbb{R}^n)\|.$$

4. Open questions

We conclude this paper with a couple of questions which we believe are interesting.

Question. Does a De Leeuw theorem hold for general multipliers $m \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of weak type $(1, 1)$? That is, can one drop additional (smoothness) assumptions as in Theorems 3.4 and 3.5.

Question. The classical de Leeuw theorem, the constant in Theorem 3.4 that is incorporated in the symbol \prec is in fact 1, i.e. one gets a true inequality \leq . We do not know if this is true in the weak type $(1, 1)$ case.

Question. Naturally the question arises if the lattice approximation and the compactification theorem of De Leeuw hold at the endpoints.

Question. In [CPPR15] a non-commutative De Leeuw restriction theorem was proved for multipliers T_m acting on $L_p(\widehat{G})$. Here G is a locally compact group and $L_p(\widehat{G})$ is the non-commutative L_p -space of its group von Neumann algebra. If Γ is a discrete subgroup of G and G has small almost invariant neighbourhoods with respect to Γ (see [CPPR15] for the precise definition) then a De Leeuw theorem holds for L_p -spaces. The weak $(1, 1)$ restriction theorem for any class of multipliers on such non-commutative spaces is open. For example, is there a De Leeuw restriction theorem for weak $(1, 1)$ type multipliers for the Heisenberg group?

References

- [BiSo67] M. S. Birman, M. Z. Solomyak, *Double Stieltjes operator integrals (Russian)*, Probl. Math. Phys., Izdat. Leningrad. Univ., Leningrad, (1966) 33–67. English translation in: Topics in Mathematical Physics, Vol. 1 (1967), Consultants Bureau Plenum Publishing Corporation, New York, 25-54.
- [BoFe84] M. Bozejko, G. Fendler, *Herz-Schur multipliers and completely bounded multipliers of the Fourier algebra of a locally compact group*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. A (6) **3** (1984), no. 2, 297–302.
- [Cad17] L. Cadilhac, *Weak boundedness of Calderón-Zygmund operators on noncommutative L_1 -spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. **274** (2018), no. 3, 769–796.
- [CaHa85] J. de Cannière, U. Haagerup, *Multipliers of the Fourier algebras of some simple Lie groups and their discrete subgroups*, Amer. J. Math. **107** (1985), no. 2, 455–500.
- [CGRS12] A. Carey, V. Gayral, A. Rennie, F. Sukochev, *Integration on locally compact noncommutative spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. **263** (2012), no. 2, 383–414.
- [CRSS07] A. Carey, A. Rennie, A. Sedaev, F. Sukochev, *The Dixmier trace and asymptotics of zeta functions*, J. Funct. Anal. **249** (2007), no. 2, 253–283.
- [CPPR15] M. Caspers, J. Parcet, M. Perrin, E. Ricard, *Noncommutative de Leeuw theorems*, Forum Math. Sigma **3** (2015), e21, 59 pp.
- [CPSZ15] M. Caspers, D. Potapov, F. Sukochev, D. Zanin, *Weak type estimates for the absolute value mapping*, J. Operator Theory **73** (2015), no. 2, 361–384.
- [CMPS14] M. Caspers, S. Montgomery-Smith, D. Potapov, F. Sukochev, *The best constants for operator Lipschitz functions on Schatten classes*, J. Funct. Anal. **267** (2014), no. 10, 3557–3579.
- [CPSZ18] M. Caspers, D. Potapov, F. Sukochev, D. Zanin, *Weak type commutator and Lipschitz estimates: resolution of the Nazarov-Peller conjecture*, American Journal of Mathematics (to appear).
- [CSZ18] M. Caspers, F. Sukochev, D. Zanin, *Weak type operator Lipschitz and commutator estimates for commuting tuples*, Annales de l’Institut Fourier (to appear).
- [Dav88] E. Davies, *Lipschitz continuity of functions of operators in the Schatten classes*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. **37** (1988) 148–157.
- [DDP89] P.G. Dodds, T. Dodds, B. de Pagter, *Noncommutative Banach function spaces*, Math. Z. **201** (1989), no. 4, 583–597.

- [DDPS97] P.G. Dodds, B. de Pagter, F.A. Sukochev, *Lipschitz continuity of the absolute value and Riesz projections in symmetric operator spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. **148** (1997), no. 1, 28–69.
- [Eym64] P. Eymard, *L’algèbre de Fourier d’un groupe localement compact*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **92** 1964 181–236.
- [dPWS02] B. de Pagter, H. Witvliet, F.A. Sukochev, *Double operator integrals*, J. Funct. Anal. **192** (2002), no. 1, 52–111.
- [Gra04] L. Grafakos, *Classical and modern Fourier analysis*, Pearson Education, Inc., Upper Saddle River, NJ, 2004. xii+931 pp.
- [Lee65] K. de Leeuw, *On L_p multipliers* Ann. of Math. (2) **81** 1965 364–379.
- [Far67] Y. Farforovskaya, *An estimate of the nearness of the spectral decompositions of self-adjoint operators in the Kantorovich-Rubinstein metric*, Vestnik Leningrad. Univ. **22** (1967), no. 19, 155–156.
- [Far68] Y. Farforovskaya, *The connection of the Kantorovich-Rubinstein metric for spectral resolutions of selfadjoint operators with functions of operators*, Vestnik Leningrad. Univ. **23** (1968), no. 19, 94–97.
- [Far72] Y. Farforovskaya, *An example of a Lipschitz function of self-adjoint operators with nonnuclear difference under a nuclear perturbation*, Zap. Nauchn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI) **30** (1972), 146–153.
- [Kat73] T. Kato, *Continuity of the map $S \mapsto |S|$ for linear operators*, Proc. Japan Acad. **49** (1973) 157–160.
- [Kre64] M. Krein, *Some new studies in the theory of perturbations of self-adjoint operators*, First Math. Summer School, Part I (Russian), Izdat. “Naukova Dumka”, Kiev, 1964, pp. 103–187.
- [NaPe09] P. Nazarov, V. Peller, *Lipschitz functions of perturbed operators*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **347** (2009), no. 15–16, 857–862.
- [Par09] J. Parcet, *Pseudo-localization of singular integrals and noncommutative Calderón-Zygmund theory*, J. Funct. Anal. **256** (2009), no. 2, 509–593.
- [Pel85] V. Peller, *Hankel operators in the theory of perturbations of unitary and selfadjoint operators*, Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. **19** (1985), no. 2, 37–51, 96.
- [PoSu08] D. Potapov, F. Sukochev, *Lipschitz and commutator estimates in symmetric operator spaces*, J. Operator Theory **59** (2008), no. 1, 211–234.
- [PoSu11] D. Potapov, F. Sukochev, *Operator-Lipschitz functions in Schatten-von Neumann classes*, Acta Math. **207** (2011), no. 2, 375–389.
- [Ran05] N. Randrianantoanina, *A weak type inequality for non-commutative martingales and applications*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **91** (2005), no. 2, 509–542.
- [Sae70] S. Saeki, *Translation invariant operators on groups*, Tohoku Math. J. (2) **22** (1970), 409–419.
- [Tak02] M. Takesaki, *Theory of operator algebras. I.*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002. xx+415 pp.

Martijn Caspers

TU Delft, EWI/DIAM, P.O.Box 5031, 2600 GA Delft, The Netherlands

e-mail: m.p.t.caspers@tudelft.nl