## DELFT UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY FACULTY OF ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING, MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE

The final grade of the test: (total number of points)/5

## Examiner responsible: C. Vuik

## **Examination reviewer:** M.B. van Gijzen

## TEST SCIENTIFIC COMPUTING (wi4201 / wi4201COSSE) Wednesday January 19 2022, 13:30-16:30

- 1. Below 5 statements are given. If the statement is true give a short proof. If the statement is wrong give a counter example or an explanation.
  - (a)  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is an orthogonal matrix.  $\kappa_2(A) = 1$ , where  $\kappa_2$  is the 2-norm condition number. (2 pt.)
  - (b) the amount of work per iteration of the Bi-CGSTAB method remains constant as a function of the number of iterations; (2 pt.)
  - (c) Given matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ .  $P \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is a permutation matrix. The spectra of A and  $P^T A P$  are the same. (2 pt.)
  - (d)  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is such that  $a_{i,i} = 4, a_{i,i-1} = -1, a_{i-1,i} = -1$ . All other components of A are equal to zero.  $||A||_2 = 8$ . (2 pt.)

(e) 
$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
 is invertible. (2 pt.)

2. Given the domain  $\Omega = (0, 1) \times (0, 1)$  with boundary  $\Gamma = \partial \Omega$ , we consider the following problem:

$$-\frac{\partial^2 u(x,y)}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial^2 u(x,y)}{\partial y^2} + cu(x,y) = f(x,y)$$

where c > 0, supplied with Dirichlet boundary conditions

$$u(x,y) = 1$$
 on  $\Gamma$ 

For the discretization we use an equidistant grid, step size h, and lexicographic ordering of the unknowns. Please answer the following questions:

(a) Check that the function given by:

$$u^{[k\ell]}(x,y) = \sin(k\pi x)\sin(\ell\pi y)$$
 for  $k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}, k \neq 0$  and  $\ell \neq 0$ 

is an eigenfunction of operator  $-\frac{\partial^2 u(x,y)}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial^2 u(x,y)}{\partial y^2} + cu(x,y)$ , and give an expression for the eigenvalue. (2.5 pt.) (b) For an internal grid point the stencil is given by:

$$\frac{1}{h^2} \left[ \begin{array}{rrr} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 4 + ch^2 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

Show that the numerical method has a local truncation error of  $O(h^2)$ . (2.5 pt.)

- (c) Give the stencil and right-hand side for the grid point located at (x, y) = (1 h, 1 h), with elimination of the boundary conditions. (2.5 pt.)
- (d) Show that the corresponding coefficient matrix A is positive definite. (2.5 pt.)
- 3. (a) Given the linear system  $A \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{f}$  with  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ . Consider a splitting of the form A = M N where M is non-singular. Derive a recursion formula for the BIM (Basic Iterative Method) iterates  $\mathbf{u}^k$ . Derive a recursion formula for the residual vector  $\mathbf{r}^k$ . (2 pt.)
  - (b) Give the iteration matrix B for this BIM and give a sufficient condition such that the BIM converges. (2 pt.)
  - (c) Suppose A is a non-singular lower triangular matrix. Show that the Gauss Seidel method converges for such a matrix. (2 pt.)
  - (d) Suppose A is a non-singular lower triangular matrix. Show that the Jacobi method converges for such a matrix. (2 pt.)
  - (e) Give three different stopping criteria and specify the good and bad properties of these stopping criteria. (2 pt.)
- 4. Consider the linear system  $A\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{f}$ , where  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is a nonsingular matrix.
  - (a) If A is SPD show that  $\langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z} \rangle_A = \mathbf{y}^T A \mathbf{z}$  is an inner product. (Hint: an inner product has the following properties:  $\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u} \rangle$ ,  $\langle c \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = c \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle$ ,  $\langle \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle$  and  $\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u} \rangle \geq 0$ with equality only for  $\mathbf{u} = 0$ ) (2 pt.)
  - (b) We assume that  $\mathbf{u}^1 = \alpha_0 \mathbf{r}^0$ . Determine  $\alpha_0$  such that  $\|\mathbf{u} \mathbf{u}^1\|_A$  is minimal. (2 pt.)
  - (c) The matrix A corresponds to a shifted discretized Poisson operator. The eigenvalues are given by

$$\lambda_{k,\ell} = 6 - 2\cos\frac{\pi k}{61} - 2\cos\frac{\pi \ell}{61} , \quad 1 \le k, \ell \le 60.$$

Determine the linear rate of convergence for the Conjugate Gradient method. (2 pt.)

- (d) If the convergence of the Conjugate Gradient method is too slow a preconditioner *M* could be used. Give three properties for matrix *M* in orde to be a suitable preconditioner. How can such a preconditioner be combined with Conjugate Gradient in order to obtain the Preconditioned Conjugate Gradient method? (2 pt.)
- (e) Consider matrix A given by:  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 100 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 100 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  Give an estimate of the convergence of CG. Take for the preconditioner the best diagonal matrix and give an estimate of the convergence of PCG. (2 pt.)
- 5. Consider the Power method to approximate the eigenvalues of a matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ . The eigenvalues are ordered such that  $|\lambda_1| > |\lambda_2| \ge ... \ge |\lambda_n|$  and  $\lambda_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ .
  - (a) The basic Power method is given by:  $\mathbf{q}_k = A\mathbf{q}_{k-1}$ . We assume that  $\mathbf{q}_0$  can be written as a linear combination of the eigenvectors, with a non-zero component of the eigenvector corresponding to  $\lambda_1$ . Define  $\lambda^{(k)} = \frac{\mathbf{q}_k^T A \mathbf{q}_k}{\|\mathbf{q}_k\|_2^2}$  and show that

$$|\lambda_1 - \lambda^{(k)}| = O\left(\left|\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}\right|^k\right)$$
.

(2.5 pt.)

(b) Now we consider the advanced Power method:  $\mathbf{q}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is given for k = 1, 2, ... $\mathbf{z}_1 = 4\mathbf{q}_1$ 

$$\mathbf{z}_k = A\mathbf{q}_{k-1}$$
  
 $\mathbf{q}_k = \mathbf{z}_k / \|\mathbf{z}_k\|_2$   
 $\lambda^{(k)} = \bar{\mathbf{q}}_{k-1}^T \mathbf{z}_k$ 

endfor

Show that if  $\mathbf{q}_{k-1}$  is close to the eigenvector corresponding to  $\lambda_1$  then  $\lambda^{(k)}$  is a good approximation of  $\lambda_1$ . (2.5 pt.)

- (c) Note that from part (a) it follows that the Power method is a linearly converging method. Give a stopping criterion for the Power method. (2.5 pt.)
- (d) Given a matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , where

$$\lambda_1 = 2000$$
,  $\lambda_{n-1} = 2.1$  and  $\lambda_n = 2$ .

Let  $\sigma$  be a shift value larger than  $\lambda_n$ . Give the shift and invert Power method to approximate  $\lambda_n$ . Give the rate of convergence for this method. (2.5 pt.)