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Additional Diagnostic Value of Integrated Analysis of Cardiac CTA and SPECT MPI Using the SMARTVis System in Patients with Suspected Coronary Artery Disease
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CT angiography (CTA) and SPECT myocardial perfusion imaging (MPI) are complementary imaging techniques to assess coronary artery disease (CAD). Spatial integration and combined visualization of SPECT MPI and CTA data may facilitate correlation of myocardial perfusion defects and subtending coronary arteries and thus offer additional diagnostic value over either stand-alone or side-by-side interpretation of the respective datasets from the 2 modalities. In this study, we investigated the additional diagnostic value of a software-based CTA/SPECT MPI image fusion system over conventional side-by-side analysis in patients with suspected CAD.

Methods: Seventeen symptomatic patients who underwent both CTA and SPECT MPI within a 90-d period were included in our study; 7 of them also underwent invasive coronary angiography (ICA). The potential benefits of the synchronized multimodal heart visualization (SMARTVis) system in assessing CAD were investigated through a case study involving 4 experts from 2 medical centers, in which we performed, first, a side-by-side analysis using structured CTA and SPECT reports and, second, an integrated analysis using the SMARTVis system in addition to the reports.

Results: The fused interpretation led to a more accurate diagnosis, reflected in an increase in the individual observers’ sensitivity and specificity to correctly refer for invasive angiography eventually followed by revascularization. For the first, second, third, and fourth observers, the respective sensitivities improved from 50%, 60%, and 80% to 70%, 80%, and 100%, and 90% and the respective specificities from 100%, 94%, 83%, and 83% to 100%, 100%, 94%, and 83%. Additionally, the interobserver diagnosis agreement increased from 74% to 84%. The improvement was primarily found in patients presenting with CAD in more vessels than the number of reported perfusion defects. Conclusion: Integrated analysis of cardiac CTA and SPECT MPI using the SMARTVis system results in an improved diagnostic performance.
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Coronary artery disease (CAD) is a major cause of death worldwide (1). Invasive coronary angiography (ICA) is regarded as the reference standard imaging technique for diagnosing CAD (2); it enables determination of the location, extent, and severity of vessel obstructions. CT angiography (CTA) is rapidly gaining clinical acceptance (3); it noninvasively provides high-resolution images of the cardiac and coronary artery anatomy and allows assessment of the presence, extent, and type of coronary stenoses. Still, neither CTA nor ICA provides information on the functional implications of detected stenoses; a functional test may therefore be required to evaluate the presence and extent of myocardial ischemia. SPECT myocardial perfusion imaging (MPI) is widely used to noninvasively assess reversible myocardial ischemia. In conventional side-by-side analysis, integration of CTA and SPECT MPI findings is mentally performed by using a standardized myocardial segmentation model that allocates each myocardial segment to 1 of the 3 main coronary arteries (4,5). However, individual coronary anatomy does not always correspond to the standardized myocardial distribution (6). In a study of 50 patients, Perezot-Valdes et al. (6) demonstrated that only 9 of the 17 American Heart Association segments are fed by a single coronary artery and that the other 8 segments may be fed by more than one coronary artery. Spatial integration and combined visualization of anatomic and functional data may facilitate correlation of myocardial perfusion defects and subtending coronary arteries and thus offer additional diagnostic value over either stand-alone or side-by-side interpretation of the respective datasets (7–17).
the assignment of epicardial lesions to stress-induced ischemia originated from the study of Peifer et al. (7) and subsequently of Schindler et al. (8). In the latter, 3D models of the coronary artery tree generated from coronary angiograms were combined with 3D models of the epicardial surface generated from SPECT MPI.

According to more recent studies (9–17), hybrid cardiac imaging systems physically combining the CT and SPECT/PET acquisition, and software allowing fusion of images obtained separately, are promising noninvasive techniques to assess CAD. It is expected that such systems will gain in popularity, to reduce the number of patients unnecessarily referred for ICA examination. Here, we present the software-based synchronized multimodal heart visualization (SMARTVis) fusion system, which allows comprehensive analysis of cardiac multimodal imaging data for assessment of CAD. The aim of the present study was to investigate whether integrated analysis of cardiac CTA and SPECT MPI with the SMARTVis system improves diagnostic performance, compared with side-by-side interpretation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Population

Seventy-one patients who underwent cardiac CTA and SPECT MPI at the Leiden University Medical Center (Leiden, The Netherlands) were randomly selected. After application of exclusion criteria (Fig. 1), 17 patients were included in our study; images from an ICA procedure performed within a 90-d period were available for 7 of them. Patient characteristics are presented in Table 1. The institutional review board approved this retrospective study, and the requirement to obtain informed consent was waived.

SPECT MPI

SPECT MPI was performed using a 2-d protocol starting with the stress acquisition. The patients underwent bicycle ergometry or, if contraindications were present, adenosine or dobutamine infusion to induce stress. The radioisotope (500 MBq of $^{99m}$Tc-tetrofosmin) was injected at peak exercise, at 3 min after starting adenosine perfusion, or at peak heart rate during dobutamine infusion. For both stress and rest scans, the images were acquired 1 h after radioisotope injection.

Exclusions

Day period between CTA and SPECT-MPI > 90
No perfusion defect on SPECT-MPI
SPECT-MPI with significant attenuation
with subdiaphragm activity
Congenital heart disease
Previous PCI, CAGB or pacemaker

71 random patients with CTA and SPECT/MPI exams

Yes

No

ICA within 90 d

7 patients

No significant disease
1-vessel disease
2-vessel disease
3-vessel disease

10 patients

No significant disease
1-vessel disease
2-vessel disease
3-vessel disease

FIGURE 1. Patient inclusion and exclusion criteria. CAGB = coronary artery bypass grafting; PCI = percutaneous coronary intervention.

A triple-head camera system (CGA 9300; Toshiba) and a low-energy high-resolution collimator were used. Electrocardiographic gating was performed at 16 frames per cardiac cycle, with a tolerance window of 50%. No attenuation or scatter correction was applied.

An experienced nuclear physician, masked to both CTA and ICA results, analyzed the scans using the Corridor4DM software package (version 6.1; INVIYA Solutions) (18). SPECT MPI images were interpreted using oblique slices, polar maps, and quantitative or functional values. The SPECT MPI interpretation was summarized into a report, using the following guidelines (19): the observer graded each of the 19 myocardial segments as being normal (no perfusion defect) or abnormal (reversible or fixed defects) and indicated the extent of myocardial infarction or ischemia.

CTA

Five patients were scanned using a 64-slice CT scanner (Aquilion 64; Toshiba Medical Systems Corp.), and the remaining 12 patients were scanned using a 320-slice CT scanner (Aquilion ONE; Toshiba Medical Systems Corp.). When the heart rate was higher than 65 beats/min, additional oral β-blockers (metoprolol, 50 mg, single dose, 1 h before the scan) were provided when tolerated. A prospectively triggered coronary calcium scan (unenhanced CT scan) was performed before the CTA acquisition. CTA images were acquired with a collimation of $64 \times 0.5$ mm for the 64-slice scanner and $320 \times 0.5$ mm for the 320-slice scanner, a tube rotation time of 400 ms, and a tube current of 300 mA at 120 kV for patients with a body mass index of less than 30 kg/m$^2$. If a patient had a higher body mass index, tube current was increased to 350 or 400 mA at 135 kV. The acquisition of imaging was prospectively triggered at 75% of the R–R interval.

Between 80 and 110 mL of nonionic contrast material (iomeconol 400H; Bracco Atlanta Pharma) were administered with a flow rate of 5 mL/s depending on the total scanning time. The timing of the scan was determined using automated detection of peak enhancement in the aortic root. Th acquisition was conducted during an inspiratory breath-hold of approximately 10 s.

A single experienced reader, masked to both SPECT MPI and ICA results, analyzed the scans using the syngo.via workstation (Siemens Healthcare). CTA images were interpreted using transaxial image stacks and curved multiplanar reformatted images. The CTA interpretation per coronary segment (American Heart Association Model (20)) was summarized into a report, using the following guidelines (21): the observer reported the stenosis location (origin, proximal, mid, distal, or end), the stenosis severity (mild, moderate, severe, or occluded), and the stenosis plaque type (noncalcified, mixed, or calcified).

Quantitative Coronary Angiography (QCA)

ICA was performed according to standard medical practice. One experienced cardiologist unaware of the CTA and SPECT MPI scoring results performed QCA on the 7 available angiograms. All coronary segments were identified and analyzed using the modified 17-segment American Heart Association classification. Segments were visually classified as normal (smooth parallel or tapering borders, visually ≤ 20% narrowing) or as having coronary obstruction (visually ≥ 20% narrowing); the stenoses in these last segments were quantified by a validated QCA algorithm (CAAS; Pie Medical) (22). Stenoses were evaluated in the worst angiographic view and classified as significant if the lumen diameter reduction exceeded 50%.

SMARTVis: A Software-Based CTA/SPECT MPI Fusion System

In this work, we extended the SMARTVis software-based system that we introduced in 2012 (23) to fuse CTA with SPECT MPI data. An overview of the CTA and SPECT MPI processing and fusion is given in Figure 2. The SMARTVis system provides comprehensive 2-dimensional (2D) and 3D fused visualizations of the anatomic and
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TABLE 1

Patient Characteristics (n = 17)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (y, mean ± SD)</td>
<td>61 ± 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men (n)</td>
<td>15 (90%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (kg × m⁻², mean ± SD)</td>
<td>22.8 ± 4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium score (Agatston)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>494</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interquartile range</td>
<td>85–1,319</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>0–4,797</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical history based on CTA (n)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No significant disease</td>
<td>3 (17%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-vessel disease</td>
<td>2 (12%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-vessel disease</td>
<td>5 (29%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-vessel disease</td>
<td>7 (42%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiovascular risk factors (n)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smoker</td>
<td>7 (42%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>11 (65%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>14 (82%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypercholesterolemia</td>
<td>12 (70%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history of coronary vessel</td>
<td>4 (24%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imaging interval (d, mean ± SD)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between CTA and SPECT MPI (n = 17)</td>
<td>31 ± 31 (1, 79)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between CTA and ICA (n = 7)</td>
<td>45 ± 30 (8, 85)*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Minimum and maximum intervals are in parentheses.

functional information for relating coronary stenoses and perfusion defect regions. The coronary artery tree extracted from CTA can be projected onto the 2D stress–rest polar map, and similarly, the perfusion information visualized on a 3D stress–rest polar map can be fused with a 3D model of the heart and its coronary artery tree. Furthermore, the SMARTVis system provides a list of automatically detected and quantified coronary artery stenoses (24). To further assist the user in assigning a culprit lesion to a specific perfusion defect, a distance-based estimation of the patient-specific coronary perfusion territories is provided. Last, the 2D and 3D polar map views are synchronized with the CTA stenosis findings and images.

Study Design

The additional diagnostic value of the SMARTVis system to assess CAD was investigated through a case-study evaluation involving 4 experts from 2 medical centers (Erasmus Medical Center, Rotterdam, The Netherlands, and Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands). An overview of the study design is presented in Figure 3.

During the analyses, for each of the 17 coronary artery segments that had at least one stenosis of at least 20% on CTA, the observer first had to indicate the correlation between stenoses and perfusion defects. The stenoses in the considered coronary segment could either be related to a myocardial region showing a perfusion defect on SPECT MPI or be considered hemodynamically not significant (i.e., not inducing a perfusion defect). Subsequently, the observer had to indicate the most appropriate therapeutic decision: medical therapy or revascularization of specific coronary segments.

Analysis

The revascularization decision strategy and target vessel selection of each observer were compared both with the other observers’ decisions and with the reference decision derived from interpretation of QCA and SPECT MPI. The diagnostic performance of CTA, SPECT MPI, and their fusion was compared on a per-vessel basis to determine the therapeutic-decision agreement, as well as the sensitivity and specificity.

For all 17 patients, an interobserver therapeutic-decision agreement percentage was computed per patient $p$ as follows:

$$\text{Agreement}^{\text{interobs}}_p = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{v=1}^{4} \omega_v \text{ and } \omega_{\text{obs}}$$

$$\begin{cases} 100 & \text{if all observers agree} \\ 50 & \text{if 3 observers agree} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

with $v$ the main arteries (right coronary artery [RCA], left anterior descending coronary artery [LAD], left circumflex coronary artery [LCX], intermediate branch) and $\omega_v$ the observer therapeutic agreement for vessel $v$.

For the subset of 7 patients who underwent ICA, a therapeutic-decision agreement percentage with respect to the QCA/SPECT MPI decision was computed per patient $p$ as follows:

$$\text{Agreement}^{\text{QCA}}_p = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{v=1}^{4} \omega_{\text{obs},v}$$

$$\begin{cases} 100 & \text{if, for vessel } v, \text{ observers agree} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

with the QCA/SPECT MPI decision. Also, the sensitivity and specificity for revascularization of a coronary artery were computed.

![FIGURE 2. Overview of image processing performed on CTA and fusion of CTA/SPECT MPI. Dashed box corresponds to semiautomated process, whereas solid boxes correspond to fully automatic processes. Previously published methods (24–26) were used to detect and quantify coronary artery stenoses on CTA, quantify coronary calcium, and obtain cardiac chamber shapes from CTA. SPECT MPI left ventricle shape was automatically provided by Corridor4DM software, as were landmark points indicating septal and apical positions. LV shapes and landmark points were subsequently used to align CTA and SPECT MPI data by applying iterative closest-point algorithm. 3D fusion was qualitatively validated by visual inspection of correctness of alignment. Endo/epi = endocardium/epicardium.](image-url)
RESULTS

First, the results of the monomodality analyses are reported. Subsequently, we report on interobserver agreement and agreement with the reference standard (combined QCA/SPECT MPI) for the conventional side-by-side analysis and the integrated analysis, respectively. Finally, we compare the performance of integrated analysis of fused CTA/SPECT MPI with the side-by-side analysis.

SPECT MPI Findings

Ten patients (59%) showed a reversible perfusion defect; 12 (71%), a fixed perfusion defect; and 5 (29%), a mixed perfusion defect. Eight patients (47%) showed a perfusion defect in a single coronary territory; 7 (41%), in 2 territories, and 2 (12%), in all 3 territories. The exact locations of the perfusion defects are listed in Table 2.

CTA Findings

Image quality was excellent in 12 patients (71%) and moderate in 5 patients (29%). The median Agatston score was 494 (interquartile range, 85–1,319; range, 0–4,797); 4 patients (24%) had a calcium score above 1,000. In total, 263 segments were evaluated, and significant stenoses were present in 66 (25%). The remaining 197 segments (75%) were normal or contained only nonsignificant stenoses (<50%). Among all segments, 18 (7%) were qualified as blurred and 6 (2%) were severely calcified. Three patients did not show any signs of CAD. In 2 patients, single-vessel disease was suspected; in 5, double-vessel disease; and in 7, triple-vessel disease. The calcium scores and significant stenosis locations are listed in Table 2.

QCA Findings

In 7 patients (41%), conventional ICA was performed within 45 ± 30 d after the CTA study. In these 7 patients, 15 of the 100 vessel segments had a stenosis of more than 50% on ICA, and 26 of the 100 vessel segments had a stenosis of more than 20% on ICA. One patient did not show any CAD, 1 patient had single-vessel disease, 3 had double-vessel disease, and 2 had triple-vessel disease. The artery segments with at least 20% stenosis and the QCA values are listed in Table 2. On the basis of the QCA and SPECT MPI findings, revascularization was advised in segments of 10 coronary arteries.

Figs 4 and 5 present an example (patient 8) of visualizations provided by the SMARTVis system for integrated analysis of CTA/SPECT MPI. The other 17 patients are presented in the supplemental data at http://jnm.snmjournals.org.

Detection of Coronary Lesions Requiring Revascularization.

For the 7 patients in whom QCA was available, there was an average 81% agreement with regard to the therapeutic decision between the observers and the QCA/SPECT MPI reference standard. Over the 4 (vessels) × 7 (patients) = 28 therapeutic decisions, the 4 observers agreed in 14 cases (50%) with the QCA/SPECT MPI therapeutic decision and 3 observers agreed in 9 cases (32%). In the remaining 5 cases (18%), there was no consensus. For observers 1, 2, 3, and 4, the vessel-based sensitivities to correctly refer for revascularization were 50%, 60%, 80%, and 80%, respectively, and the vessel-based specificities were 100%, 94%, 83%, and 83%, respectively.

Interobserver Agreement. Over all patients, the averaged interobserver therapeutic-decision agreement was 74%. Over the 4 (vessels) × 17 (patients) = 68 therapeutic decisions, the 4 observers agreed in 41 cases (60%) and 1 observer disagreed in 19 cases (28%). For the remaining 8 cases (12%), no consensus was reached.

Findings of Fused Analysis

Table 3 summarizes the diagnostic performance for the side-by-side and fused CTA/SPECT MPI analysis.
### TABLE 2
Findings from CTA, SPECT MPI, and ICA for 17 Patients and Interobserver Agreement for Fused Interpretation with SMARTVis System in Comparison with Side-by-Side Interpretation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient no.</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age (y)</th>
<th>Agatston score</th>
<th>CTA findings</th>
<th>SPECT MPI/MPI findings</th>
<th>QCA finding of ≥20% stenosis in…</th>
<th>CTA suspected</th>
<th>SPECT MPI suspected</th>
<th>Interobserver agreement*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>60.6</td>
<td>Inferior</td>
<td>Inferior + inferolateral mid-apical</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>=</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>Inferior</td>
<td>Inferior + inferolateral mid-apical</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Double</td>
<td>=</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>Inferior</td>
<td>Inferior + inferolateral mid-apical</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Double</td>
<td>=</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>1,932.5</td>
<td>Inferior</td>
<td>Inferior + inferolateral apical</td>
<td>8 (100%)</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>=</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Inferior</td>
<td>Inferior + inferolateral apical</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Double</td>
<td>Double</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>1,676.9</td>
<td>Inferior</td>
<td>Inferior + inferolateral apical</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Triple</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>315.4</td>
<td>Inferior</td>
<td>Inferior + inferolateral apical</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Double</td>
<td>Triple</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>819.6</td>
<td>Inferior</td>
<td>Inferior + inferolateral apical</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>=</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>493.8</td>
<td>Inferior</td>
<td>Inferior + inferolateral apical</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Double</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>=</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Inferior</td>
<td>Inferior + inferolateral apical</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Double</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>=</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>962.3</td>
<td>Inferior</td>
<td>Inferior + inferolateral apical</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Double</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>=</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>373.8</td>
<td>Inferior</td>
<td>Inferior + inferolateral apical</td>
<td>1 (38%), 6 (46%), 11 (63%)</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>=</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>2,840.5</td>
<td>Inferior</td>
<td>Inferior + inferolateral apical</td>
<td>1 (52%), 5 (26%), 7 (41%), 12 (38%), 14 (58%)</td>
<td>Triple</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>=</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>109.3</td>
<td>Inferior</td>
<td>Inferior + inferolateral apical</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>=</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>4,797.4</td>
<td>Inferior</td>
<td>Inferior + inferolateral apical</td>
<td>1 (38%), 6 (46%), 11 (63%)</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>Triple</td>
<td>=</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Inferior</td>
<td>Inferior + inferolateral apical</td>
<td>1 (100%), 6 (50%)</td>
<td>Double</td>
<td>Double</td>
<td>=</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>727.8</td>
<td>Inferior</td>
<td>Inferior + inferolateral apical</td>
<td>1 (40%), 2 (76%), 7 (48%), 13 (70%), 16 (58%)</td>
<td>Triple</td>
<td>Double</td>
<td>=</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*+ indicates improvement; =, same; and −, worse.
NA = not applicable.

Coronary segment numbering: 1 = proximal RCA; 2 = mid RCA; 3 = distal RCA; 4 = posterior descending; 5 = left main; 6 = proximal LAD; 7 = mid LAD; 8 = distal LAD; 9 = diagonal branch 1; 10 = diagonal branch 2; 11 = proximal LCX; 12 = marginal branch 1; 13 = mid LCX; 14 = marginal branch 2; 15 = distal LCX; 16 = intermediate branch.
Detection of Coronary Lesions Requiring Revascularization. Through analysis of the integrated SPECT MPI/CTA information using the SMARTVis system, the averaged therapeutic-decision agreement improved in 4 cases (patients 8, 12, 15, and 16) and remained the same in the remaining 3 cases (patients 2, 4, and 17). For all observers, use of the SMARTVis system resulted in an increase of their sensitivity and specificity to correctly refer for revascularization.

Interobserver Agreement. The interobserver therapeutic-decision agreement increased in 8 cases (patients 5, 7, 8, 10, 11, 12, 15, and 16), remained the same in 7 (patients 1, 2, 3, 4, 9, 13, and 17), and decreased in 2 (patients 6 and 14). Over all patients, the interobserver agreement rose from 74% during the side-by-side analysis to 84% during the integrated analysis using the SMARTVis system; in the 7 patients who underwent ICA, interobserver agreement increased from 66% to 82%, suggesting that observer agreement on the more correct therapeutic decisions was also increased using the SMARTVis system.

 Territory Disagreement. Disagreement in interpretation between side-by-side and fused analysis was highest for the basal/mid-inferior/inferolateral myocardial regions (7 patients), which may be supplied by either the RCA or the LCX. Disagreement was also reported for the basal/mid-anterolateral myocardial region (2 patients), to which the LAD, the LCX, or the intermediate branch may supply blood. Last, slight disagreement was noticed in the mid-antero-/inferoseptal myocardial region (1 patient), supplied by either the LAD or the RCA.

DISCUSSION

Fusion of cardiac CTA anatomic and SPECT MPI functional information for the assessment of CAD was introduced by Nakaura et al. (9). On the basis of 4 cases, the study suggested that fused interpretation improves the relationship between relevant coronary arteries and abnormal perfusion territory. Also, Sato et al. (17) demonstrated that, on the basis of a population of 130 patients, side-by-side combined interpretation of CTA and SPECT MPI provides added diagnostic value, as compared with stand-alone CTA interpretation. Gaemperli et al. (11–13) further investigated the incremental diagnostic value of fused CTA/SPECT MPI interpretation. In one of their studies (11), 38 patients who underwent both CTA and SPECT MPI (25 additionally underwent ICA) and presented with at least 1 perfusion defect on SPECT MPI were included in an evaluation similar to ours (i.e., side-by-side vs. fused). The authors demonstrated that fused analysis provides added diagnostic information on pathophysiologic lesion severity not obtained with side-by-side analysis. The evaluations were performed by a consensus of 2 observers. In our work, 4 independent observers were involved in the evaluation, which allowed us to also investigate the added diagnostic value of fused analysis to reduce interobserver variability in decisions regarding revascularization strategy and target vessel selection. Also, Gaemperli et al. (13) demonstrated that fusion of CTA and SPECT MPI allows accurate detection of flow-limiting coronary stenoses (i.e., significant stenoses inducing ischemia) and that it is thus a potential gatekeeper for ICA and coronary revascularization. In our work, we provide additional insights concerning which patients are more likely to benefit from integrated analysis of fused CTA/SPECT MPI.

**FIGURE 4.** CTA and QCA analysis for patient 8, a 61-y-old man. (A and B) CTA report indicates only mild (20%–50%) stenoses in LAD (A) and 1 significant stenosis in p-LCX segment (suspected single-vessel disease) (B). (C and D) QCA analysis reveals 46% stenosis in proximal LAD coronary segment (C) and 63% stenosis in proximal LCX segment (D). SPECT MPI report indicates 2 reversible perfusion defects in anterior mid-basal and inferior mid-apical walls (suspected double-vessel disease), p = proximal.

**FIGURE 5.** Comprehensive visualizations proposed in SMARTVis system for patient 8, a 61-y-old man. (A) 2D stress polar map fused with projection of coronary tree extracted from CTA. Coronary vessel tree is color-coded with automatically estimated degree of stenosis. (B) Rest polar map fused with projection of coronary tree extracted from CTA. Coronary arteries are coded with distance to epicardium: the more transparent the artery, the farther it is from the epicardium. Patient-specific perfusion territories are also projected (LAD in red, LCX in yellow, MO in green, and RCA in blue). (C and D) 3D model of heart and coronary tree extracted from CTA fused with 3D stress polar map. p = proximal.
The results of our case study demonstrated that in several cases the integrated analysis of cardiac CTA and SPECT MPI has a clinical benefit, in the sense that there was both an increase in interobserver agreement and better agreement between therapy planning decisions and the reference standard. Specifically, we found the tool to be of additional value in the diagnosis of patients who have perfusion defects in fewer coronary territories than were suspected to have vessel disease on CTA—that is, who have a perfusion defect in 1 coronary territory and suspected double- or triple-vessel disease on CTA (diagnosis of patients 10, 11, and 12 improved; diagnosis of patients 9 and 13 remained the same) or a perfusion defect in 2 coronary territories and suspected double- or triple-vessel disease on CTA (diagnosis of patients 5, 8, 15, and 16 improved). In such cases, the relation between the coronary territory with a perfusion defect and its supplying coronary arteries is uncertain, thus making the use of the patient-specific SMARTVis system helpful. The case study further revealed that image fusion as implemented in the SMARTVis system has additional diagnostic value neither for patients with coronary stenoses (diagnosis of patients 1, 2, and 3 remained the same) nor for patients with suspected single-vessel disease on both CTA and SPECT MPI (diagnosis of patient 4 remained the same) or triple-vessel disease (diagnosis of patient 17 remained the same; diagnosis of patient 6 got worse). In fact, if a patient has no significant stenoses reported but the SPECT MPI study reveals the presence of perfusion defects, the observers consider that the perfusion defects are caused not by obstruction in the epicardial coronary arteries but by microvascular disease or an artifact. In cases of suspected single-vessel disease, it is clear which coronary artery is causing the perfusion defect, and thus, integrating information in a patient-specific way leads to the same diagnosis as with side-by-side analysis. Also, patients with suspected triple-vessel disease do not benefit from such a combined approach. To summarize, integrated analysis of cardiac CTA and SPECT MPI using the SMARTVis system has additional diagnostic value primarily for patients with angiographic CAD that exceeds myocardial hypoperfusion on SPECT MPI.

The results of this work are consistent with the conclusions in previously published work (7–17) on fusion of cardiac CTA and SPECT MPI for assessment of CAD, studies in which fused CTA/SPECT MPI interpretation appeared to provide added diagnostic information on the hemodynamic relevance of coronary artery lesions. However, our work differs from the previously published work primarily in how the information from CTA and SPECT MPI was fused and how the evaluation was performed. Previously, CTA images were registered (i.e., aligned) with SPECT MPI images to provide fused 3D SPECT/CT images. We introduced a comprehensive visualization system to fuse multimodal imaging data and provide fused representations in both 2 and 3 dimensions for the convenience of the observer. Such an integration of cardiac CTA and SPECT MPI anatomic and functional information into a single coordinated visual analysis tool is novel, maximizing the diagnostic complementarity of CTA and SPECT MPI imaging modalities.

One limitation of our study was the modest population size. However, results were consistent among observers and datasets. A strength was the use of 4 independent observers in the evaluation study. Whether integrated analysis of fused CTA/SPECT MPI using the SMARTVis system is more time-efficient than side-by-side interpretation remains to be investigated. The interpretation using the SMARTVis system took from 3 to 15 min, depending on the complexity of the case and on the observer. In the current study, each observer had a training session of only a few minutes using a single excluded patient to become familiar with the SMARTVis system. A reliable investigation of the time efficiency would require a substantially longer use in clinical practice. In general, all observers were enthusiastic about the presented integrated visualization tool and some were eager to use the SMARTVis system in routine clinical practice. Further investigation is needed to determine which patients should undergo such examination (increased imaging costs and radiation dose vs. benefits to the patient). We do not recommend that all patients undergo both CTA and SPECT MPI examination, but we underline that if both tests are performed, integrated analysis is to be preferred.

CONCLUSION

Integrated analysis of fused cardiac CTA and SPECT MPI using the SMARTVis system results in additional diagnostic value primarily for patients having CAD in more vessels than the number of reported perfusion defects. The SMARTVis comprehensive visualization system can be effectively used to assess disease status in patients with multivessel CAD, offering valuable new options for diagnosis and management.
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