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Introduction

Reciprocity theorems play an important role in formulating true amplitude operations on seismic wave fields, such as multiple elimination, migration and characterization. In general, a reciprocity theorem interrelates the quantities that characterize two admissible physical states that could occur in one and the same domain (de Hoop, 1988). One state is identified with an actual measurement, while the other state can either be a computational state (e.g. migration operators), a desired state (e.g. multiple-free data) or an other measurement (characterizing time-lapse differences in the reservoir).

In the usual practice of seismic data analysis two classes of wave equations are used, viz. the full wave equation expressed in terms of the acoustic pressure and particle velocity and the one-way wave equations expressed in terms of down and up going waves. Accordingly, reciprocity theorems can be formulated for both classes of wave equations. In this paper we present reciprocity theorems for the full wave field as well as for its down and up going constituents and we discuss some of their applications.

Reciprocity theorem for the full wave field

In this section we review the scalar form of the acoustic reciprocity theorem of the convolution type. We closely follow de Hoop (1988) and Fokkema and van den Berg (1993). The former author derives reciprocity theorems in the time domain; the latter authors in the time domain, the Laplace domain and the frequency domain. Here we only consider the frequency domain.

Basic acoustic equations

In the space-frequency \((x, \omega)\) domain, the equations that govern linear acoustic wave motion read

\[
\partial_t p + j \omega \varrho \vec{v}_k = F_k
\]

and

\[
\partial_t v_k + j \omega \kappa p = Q,
\]

where \(p\) is the acoustic pressure, \(v_k\) is the particle velocity, \(\varrho\) is the volume density of mass, \(\kappa\) is the compressibility, \(F_k\) is the volume source density of volume force and \(Q\) is the volume source density of volume injection rate. The Latin subscripts take on the values 1 to 3 and the summation convention applies to repeated subscripts.

Reciprocity theorem of the convolution type for the full wave field

We introduce two acoustic states (i.e., wave fields, medium parameters and sources), that will be distinguished by the subscripts \(A\) and \(B\). For these two states we consider the interaction quantity \(\partial_k \{ P_A v_{k,B} - v_{k,A} P_B \} \). Applying the product rule for differentiation, substituting equations (1) and (2) for states \(A\) and \(B\), integrating the result over a volume \(\mathcal{V}\) with boundary \(\partial \mathcal{V}\) and outward pointing normal vector \(n = (n_1, n_2, n_3)\) (see Figure 1) and applying the theorem of Gauss yields
Equation (3) is Rayleigh’s reciprocity theorem (Rayleigh, 1878).

We conclude this section by considering some special cases.

Unbounded media – Consider the situation in which the medium at and outside $\partial \mathcal{V}$ is homogeneous, unbounded and source-free in both states. Assume that the wave fields in both states are causally related to the sources in $\mathcal{V}$. Then, if $q_A = q_B$ and $\kappa_A = \kappa_B$ at and outside $\partial \mathcal{V}$, the boundary integral on the left-hand side of equation (3) vanishes (Bleistein, 1984; Fokkema and van den Berg, 1993).

Physical reciprocity – Assume that the above mentioned conditions are fulfilled and that $q_A = q_B$ and $\kappa_A = \kappa_B$ in $\mathcal{V}$ as well. Then the first volume integral on the right-hand side of equation (3) vanishes. Furthermore, consider point sources in states $A$ and $B$ at $x_A \in \mathcal{V}$ and $x_B \in \mathcal{V}$, respectively, according to $Q_A(x, \omega) = q_A(\omega)\delta(x - x_A)$. $Q_B(x, \omega) = q_B(\omega)\delta(x - x_B)$, with $q_A(\omega) = q_B(\omega)$ and $F_{k,A}(x, \omega) = F_{k,B}(x, \omega) = 0$. Equation (3) thus yields the well-known result

\[
P_A(x_B, \omega) = P_B(x_A, \omega).
\] (4)

Reciprocity theorem for one-way wave fields

In this section we review the matrix-vector form of the acoustic reciprocity theorem for one-way wave fields (Wapenaar and Grimbergen, 1996).

One-way wave equation in matrix-vector form

We introduce a system of coupled equations for the one-way wave fields $P^+$ and $P^-$, propagating in the positive and negative depth direction, respectively, originating from sources $S^+$ and $S^-:

\[
\partial_t \mathbf{P} = \mathbf{B} \mathbf{P} + \mathbf{S}
\] (5)

(the hat denotes a pseudo-differential operator), with

\[
\mathbf{P} = \begin{pmatrix} P^+ \\ P^- \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{S} = \begin{pmatrix} S^+ \\ S^- \end{pmatrix}.
\] (6)

The one-way operator matrix $\mathbf{B}$ is defined as

\[
\mathbf{B} = \begin{pmatrix} -j \mathbf{H}_1 & 0 \\ 0 & j \mathbf{H}_1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \hat{T} & -\hat{R} \\ -\hat{R} & \hat{T} \end{pmatrix},
\] (7)

where $\mathbf{H}_1$ is the well-known square-root operator, and $\hat{R}$ and $\hat{T}$ are the reflection and transmission operators, respectively.
Reciprocity theorem of the convolution type for the one-way wave fields

We introduce two different states that will be distinguished by the subscripts $A$ and $B$. For these two states we consider the interaction quantity $\partial \{ P_A^T N P_B \}$, with $N = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ or, written alternatively, $\partial \{ P_A^+ P_B^- - P_A^- P_B^+ \}$. Apparently, we consider the interaction between oppositely propagating waves (see Figure 2).

Applying the product rule for differentiation, substituting the one-way wave equation (5) for states $A$ and $B$, integrating the result over a cylindrical volume $V$ with boundary $\partial V_0 \cup \partial V_1$ (see Figure 3), applying the theorem of Gauss and using the symmetry relation $\hat{B}^1 = -N \hat{B}^* N^{-1}$, yields the following one-way reciprocity theorem

$$\int_{X \in \partial V_0} P_A^T N P_B n_3 dA = \int_{X \in V} P_A^T N \hat{\Delta} P_B dV + \int_{X \in V} \{ P_A^T N S_B + S_A^T N P_B \} dV,$$

where the contrast operator $\hat{\Delta}$ is given by

$$\hat{\Delta} = \hat{B}_B - \hat{B}_A.$$

Note that the boundary integral over $\partial V_1$ vanished. For bounded $\partial V_1$ this occurs when $P_A$ and $P_B$ satisfy homogeneous Dirichlet or Neumann boundary conditions on $\partial V_1$. On the other hand, when $\partial V_1$ is unbounded this boundary contribution also vanishes under the condition that $P_A$ and $P_B$ have sufficient decay at infinity.

We conclude this subsection by analyzing reciprocity theorem (8) for some special cases.

Unbounded media — Consider the situation in which the medium at and outside $\partial V_0$ is homogeneous, unbounded and source-free in both states. Assume that the wave fields in both states are causally related to the sources in $V$. Then in both states the wave fields are outgoing at $\partial V_0$ (i.e., $P_A^+ = P_B^+ = 0$ at the upper surface and $P_A^- = P_B^- = 0$ at the lower surface) and it is easily seen that $P_A^T N P_B = P_A^+ P_B^- - P_A^- P_B^+ = 0$ at $\partial V_0$, so the boundary integral on the left-hand side of equation (8) vanishes. Apparently it is not required that the medium parameters at and outside $\partial V_0$ are identical in both states, unlike the conditions for the vanishing of the boundary integral in reciprocity theorem (3).

Figure 3: Modified configuration for the one-way reciprocity theorem. The combination of the two planar surfaces is denoted by $\partial V_0$; the cylindrical surface is denoted by $\partial V_1$. 
Assume that the above mentioned conditions are fulfilled and that \( \varrho_A = \varrho_B \) and \( \kappa_A = \kappa_B \) inside as well as outside \( \mathcal{V} \). Then the first volume integral on the right-hand side of equation (8) vanishes. Furthermore, consider point sources in states \( A \) and \( B \) at \( x_A \in \mathcal{V} \) and \( x_B \in \mathcal{V} \), respectively, according to \( S_A(x, \omega) = s_A(\omega)\delta(x-x_A) \) and \( S_B(x, \omega) = s_B(\omega)\delta(x-x_B) \). Equation (8) thus yields

\[
P_T^A(x_B, \omega) N s_B(\omega) = -s_T^A(\omega) N P_B(x_A, \omega).
\]

For the special case that \( s_A = (s_A^+ 0)^T \) and \( s_B = (s_B^+ 0)^T \), with \( s_A^+ = s_B^+ \), this reduces to

\[
P_T^A(x_B, \omega) = P_T^B(x_A, \omega),
\]

see Figure 4.

### Discussion and conclusions

In this paper we have presented two formulations of the reciprocity theorem. Both theorems show how the acoustic states at the surface of some bounded domain are related to contrast functions and source distributions in this domain. In the reciprocity theorem for the full wave field the contrast function is expressed in material differences \( (\Delta \kappa, \Delta \varrho) \), while in the reciprocity theorem for the one-way wave fields it is expressed in scattering operators \( (\hat{R}, \hat{T}) \).

The reciprocity theorem for the full wave field has proven its functionality for example in the removal of multiple reflections (van Borselen et al., 1996) and in velocity replacement (Smit et al., 1998). The reciprocity theorem for the one-way wave fields has been the point of departure for the derivation of seismic imaging techniques for finely layered media (Wapenaar, 1996). The current investigations are directed towards the application of both reciprocity theorems in 4-D seismic imaging (Fokkema et al., 1997).
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