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ABSTRACT
A method of analyzing a sample fluid containing organic microobjects is proposed. The method comprises the steps of: up-concentrating (S1) the microobjects by removing, in a total time T1, a volume V1 of the sample fluid from the upconcentrate sample microobjects; immersing (S2) the microobjects in a transfer fluid, or leaving the microobjects in a remaining portion of the sample fluid, the remaining portion of the sample fluid then providing the transfer fluid; filtering (S3), in a total time T3, a volume V3 of the transfer fluid by a filter, thereby accumulating the microobjects on the filter; and generating (S4) an image of the microobjects accumulated on the filter, wherein the throughput V3/T3 of the step of up-concentrating (S1) is greater than the throughput V1/T1 of the step of filtering (S3). The filter may be a second filter, and the step of up-concentrating (S1) may involve: filtering the sample fluid by a first filter, thereby accumulating the microobjects on the first filter. An apparatus or system for analyzing a sample fluid containing organic microobjects is also disclosed.
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UP-CONCENTRATION OF ORGANIC MICROOBJECTS FOR MICROSCOPIC IMAGING

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

In a first aspect, the invention relates to a method of analyzing a sample fluid containing organic microobjects.

In a second aspect, the invention relates to an apparatus or system for analyzing a sample fluid containing organic microobjects.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

The invention relates to the field of microbiology, in particular to microbiological analysis of fluid samples. In general, such analysis is aimed at determining the presence/absence of specific organic microobjects in the sample, at quantifying their number or concentration, and in some cases at identifying an unknown microorganism to various levels of detail. The term “organic microobject” may refer to any object of a large variety of microscopic objects of biological origin, in particular microorganisms and cells. Microorganisms include bacteria, fungi, archaea, protists, green algae, animals such as plankton, the planarian and the amoeba. Cells include apart from bacteria, plant cells and mammalian cells, e.g. blood cells and tissue cells. Besides clinical diagnostics, such as testing of sputum or other bodily fluids, microbiological analysis has important industrial applications, for example in the food and beverage, pharmaceutical, personal care products, and environmental sectors. Current standard methods of testing are based on cell culturing and have time to results of days to weeks depending on the type of sample and microorganism. There is a need for microbiological analysis with increased throughput.

An example of such a rapid method is the one proposed by AES Chemunex (www.aeschemunex.com). Their FDA-approved SeauRDI-system provides a throughput from sample to result of 90 minutes and performs the analysis by laser scanning cytometry of filtered products. The steps of this method are: filtering the fluid sample, staining the possibly present microbiological contaminants with a fluorescent dye, optically scanning the surface of the filter with a large laser spot (5-10 μm) for detecting the possibly present microbiological contaminants, and imaging the areas surrounding the contaminants with a high-resolution (0.5 μm) microscope with an automated stage. The technique has been described in J.-L. Drocourt, P. Desfetes, J. Sanghera, Apparatus and process for rapid and ultrasensitive detection and counting of microorganisms by fluorescence, EP 0713087 B1 (1994).

An improved filter technology is provided by flaXXion (www.flaxxion.com). The technology is based on lithographically defined micropores, which have a single well-defined pore size (down to 0.2 μm), are optically flat (which is advantageous from the point of view of the subsequent optical scanning step and also results in reduced backscattering) and thin so as to offer a low flow resistance and hence a higher filtration throughput compared to conventional membrane filters made from porous materials such as cellulose, nylon, polyvinyl chloride, polysulfone, polycarbonate, and polyester. An alternative to the two steps of low resolution imaging (via laser spot scanning) and high resolution imaging (with a microscope) is imaging the whole filter area at high resolution. In order to have a reasonable throughput for filtering it turns out that the filter area is much larger than the field of view of standard microscope objectives with the required resolution. For example, a resolution of 0.5 μm typically requires a 40X/NA0.65 objective lens with a field of view with a diameter of 0.5 mm. Typical filters have a diameter of several mm, so about an order of magnitude larger than the microscope objective lens. Clearly, this requires scanning the filter area, which is time-consuming and needs complex mechanisms with high accuracy.

In a related context, rapid detection of pathogens and testing for antibiotic resistance/susceptibility can be crucial for proper treatment of patients with an infectious disease. Classical culturing techniques with patient samples typically take several days from sampling to end-result and have to be performed in large central microbiology labs. During this time, the patient can usually not remain untreated without suffering from severe consequences, which limits the medical practitioners to guessing game using a broad antibiotic spectrum. This is not only economically costly but also increases the problems of antibiotic-resistant bacterial strains in hospital environments.

Speed can be gained and costs may be reduced by using automated and integrated tests on microfluidic devices. Speed may further be gained and costs may further be reduced by reducing the size of fluidic devices, to implement small reagent volumes and enable use of single-use plastic cartridges. A microfluidic system generally comprises a fluidic system into which the sample can be injected. It may further contain means for enriching bacteria and for separating them from human cells. The human cells may be examined separately in ways that would otherwise interfere with the bacterial analysis. Some microfluidic devices are adapted for screening mammalian cells for the presence of viral genes. Such devices may be capable of detecting all known types of microscopic pathogens. Microfluidic techniques have a great potential for rapid diagnosis of infectious diseases, for example by looking at a gene profile with e.g. real-time PCR, or through culturing in the microfluidic device and analysis of single bacterial divisions. There are various micro fluidic techniques for separating bacteria from human cells.


However, a microfluidic device generally has a very limited sample throughput, typically on the order of a few μL/min. For that reason, there is a severe mismatch with real patient samples, such as from an oral or nasal swab, which often contain only 100 or less of the relevant bacteria in e.g. 1 ml of liquid, or blood samples containing several mL of liquid (typically 5-10 ml) and only a few free floating bacteria. Typically, real patient samples contain only a total of 10 to 100 of the relevant bacteria in 1 to 5 mL of sample liquid. Using only a fraction of the sample is therefore not an option. However, a μL volume does usually not fit into a microfluidic device. A connection between a microfluidic sample volume and a microfluidic system is therefore required.

In addition to the above mentioned microfluidic techniques for separating bacteria and human cells in μL volumes, there are also many ways of doing this on the laboratory bench. A simple approach is to use syringe filters or centrifuge filters from e.g. Sartorius Stedim (www.sartorius-stedim.com),
Whatman (www.whatman.com) or Millipore (www.millipore.com). Large pore-sizes capture the human cells while smaller pores catch the pathogens.

Wiegum and co-workers (S. E. Wiegum, P. N. Floriano, et al., Cell-based sensor for analysis of EGFR biomarker expression in oral cancer, Lab. on a Chip, vol. 7, pp. 995-1003, 2007) have integrated filters in a PNMA cartridge and filtered out cells, which are then analyzed on a membrane. Here, the flow speed is quite high, 250 μl/min-750 μl/min, but the cells, which are captured on the filter membrane, are not transferred to another liquid volume for further analysis.

Wu and Kado have used filters to enrich bacterial DNA in a sample (S. J. Wu and C. I. Kado, Preparation of milk samples for PCR analysis using a rapid filtration technique, Journal of Applied Microbiology, vol. 96, pp. 1342-1346, 2004). The authors use a filter with a 0.4 μm pore size to capture bacteria from a sample of milk. The membrane with the bacteria is then treated with a lysis buffer and the DNA is subsequently used for PCR. The very simple technique has a sensitivity of about 10 colony forming units (CFU) per mL of milk. For DNA analysis, there are also special centrifugation filters from SIRS-Lab (www.sirs-lab.com) which separate bacterial and human DNA. However, although efficient on the bench, none of the techniques above have been directly connected to a microfluidic chip.

Other methods of analysis include fluorescence activated cell sorting (FACS) or magnetic activated cell sorting (MACS), where the bacteria are labeled with antibodies for a specific strain and then sorted out. FACS machines can have a reasonably high throughput but are large and complex instruments and require specific labeling with antibodies. Magnetic separation of either the labeled cells or DNA bound to e.g. silica magnetic beads can be used to transfer the pathogen or pathogen DNA to a much smaller volume in a funnel structure. Nevertheless, the incubation of beads and sample still has to occur in a large volume, which is time-consuming. Also, the transfer of the magnetic beads themselves, bound to cells or DNA, may also be problematic within the microfluidic system.

It is an invention of the invention to reduce the total time that is required for imaging organic microorganisms that are initially contained in a sample fluid.

**SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION**

According to the first aspect of the invention, the method of analyzing a sample fluid comprises the steps of:

1. **Up-concentrating the microorganisms by removing, in a total time T₁, a volume V₁, of the sample fluid from the microorganisms;**
2. **Immersing the microorganisms in a transfer fluid; or leaving the microorganisms in a remaining portion of the sample fluid, the remaining portion of the sample fluid then providing the transfer fluid;**
3. **Filtering, in a total time T₂, a volume V₂ of the transfer fluid by a filter, thereby accumulating the microorganisms on the filter; and**
4. **Generating an image of the microorganisms accumulated on the filter;**

wherein the throughput V₁/T₁ of the step of up-concentrating is greater than the throughput V₂/T₂ of the step of filtering. Thus the step of up-concentrating is fast compared to the step of filtering. The invention thus proposes a two-step approach, in which a portion of the sample fluid is removed from the microorganisms using a fast technique before the microorganisms are collected on the filter. Of course, the two-step approach could be extended to involve additional steps. The volume V₁ may be the total volume of the sample fluid or a fraction thereof. Similarly, the volume V₂ may be the total volume of the transfer fluid or a fraction thereof. The throughput V₁/T₁ and the throughput V₂/T₂ are average throughputs, as they may be computed from the instantaneous throughputs (i.e., from the instantaneous volumetric rates of change) associated with the sample fluid and with the transfer fluid, respectively, by averaging the instantaneous rates of change over the times T₁ and T₂, respectively. The respective instantaneous throughputs may, but do not necessarily have to be, substantially constant during the steps of up-concentrating and of filtering, respectively. The throughput V₁/T₁ may, for example, be more than 3, 10, 30, 100, 300, 1000, or 3000 times greater than the throughput V₂/T₂. The time T₁ spent on the step of immersing may be negligible compared to the step of up-concentrating. For example, the time T₁ spent on the step of immersing may be less than 10%, 3%, or 1%, of the time T₂ spent on the step of up-concentrating.

Thus, compared to a conventional method, in which substantially all the sample fluid is removed from the microorganisms by filtering the entire sample fluid through the filter, the total time of the procedure can be reduced. Stated equivalently, the filter may have a lower throughput as compared to the filter used in the conventional method, the lower throughput being compensated for by the smaller quantity of sample fluid that needs to flow through the filter. Given the same amount of time, the filter can thus be smaller, for example, so as to fit into the field of view of an optical microscope, avoiding a need for scanning the filter and thus further reducing the total amount of time. The transfer fluid may have a volume less than 20%, less than 10%, less than 5%, or less than 1% of the initial volume of the sample fluid. In the step of removing, more than 70%, more than 90%, more than 95%, or more than 99% of the sample fluid may be removed from the microorganisms. Thus the concentration of the microorganisms is considerably increased before the step of filtering.

The time T₁+T₂+T₃+T₄ spent on the steps of up-concentrating, immersing, filtering, and generating the image may be shorter than the time spent on filtering the entire sample fluid by the filter and imaging the microorganisms on that filter. Herein it is understood that the same imaging method is used.

The volume V₃ of the transfer liquid that is filtered by the filter may be significantly smaller than the volume V₁ that is removed from the microorganisms. For example, V₃ may be less than one of the following: 0.3*V₁, 0.1*V₁, 0.03*V₁, 0.01*V₁, 0.003*V₁, and 0.001*V₁. Thus the total time T₁+T₂+T₃+T₄ of the method may be considerably reduced. In fact, the larger the fraction of the sample fluid that is removed in the fast up-concentration step, the shorter the total time of the procedure may be expected to be.

The method may comprise a step of prefiltering the sample fluid by a prefilter, the prefilter retaining at most an insignificant fraction of the microorganisms; the step of prefiltering being performed prior to the step of filtering. Thus, objects (e.g. mammalian cells) larger than the microorganisms of interest (e.g. bacteria) may be filtered out beforehand, facilitating the subsequent analysis.

The filter may be a second filter and the step of up-concentrating may involve filtering the sample fluid by a first filter, thereby accumulating the microorganisms on the first filter. According to this embodiment, the step of up-concentrating is also performed by filtering. While the second filter may be particularly adapted for filtering a small volume, the first filter may be particularly adapted for filtering a large volume. For example, the first filter may be larger than the second filter.
The method may further comprise:
dissolving the first filter.
Once the first filter has been dissolved, the microobjects may
be transferred more easily to the second filter. The first filter
may be dissolved by, e.g., the transfer fluid.

The step of immersing may involve:
the transfer fluid flowing along the first filter; or
a portion of the sample fluid flowing back through the first
filter.

In the latter case, i.e., in the case of a portion of the sample
fluid flowing back through the first filter, the transfer fluid is
provided by the sample fluid. In both cases the transfer fluid
will carry the microobjects with it. The transfer fluid may then
be channeled to the second filter.

The step of up-concentrating may involve:
evaporating the volume \( V_1 \) of the sample fluid; and/or
attracting the microobjects to a collection zone; and/or
centrifugalizing the sample fluid.

Thus, alternative ways of concentrating the microobjects are
provided.

Generating the image may involve
generating an optical image of the microobjects; or
scanning the microobjects.

This can be done by any method known in the art, using e.g.,
the method by AES Chemunex described above.

The method may further comprise
releasing a dye to the sample fluid or to the transfer fluid.
Thus the microobjects can be stained before, during or after
the up-concentration process. The dye may be embedded in
the first filter, in particular if the first filter is to be dissolved.
Alternatively, the dye may be contained in a coating of the
first filter. Alternatively, it could be contained in the prefiltet
(if present) or in the second filter, or it could be released
otherwise. The method may further comprise:
releasing a deactivating agent for deactivating dye, to the
sample fluid or to the transfer fluid. The deactivating
agent may be embedded in the first filter or in the second
filter, or could be released otherwise.

The apparatus or system according to the second aspect of
the invention comprises:
means for up-concentrating the microobjects by removing,
in a total time \( T_1 \), a volume \( V_1 \) of the sample fluid from
the microobjects;
means for immersing the microobjects in a transfer fluid, or
for leaving the microobjects in a remaining portion of
the sample fluid, the remaining portion of the sample
fluid then providing the transfer fluid;
means for filtering, in a total time \( T_3 \), a volume \( V_3 \) of the
transfer fluid by a filter, to accumulate the microobjects
on the filter; and
means for generating an image of the microobjects accumu-
lated on the filter;
wherein the throughput \( V_1/T_1 \) of the means for up-concen-
trating is greater than the throughput \( V_3/T_3 \) of the filter. Thus,
a total assay time may be reduced. The system or apparatus
may comprise an optical microscope for generating an optical
image of the microobjects accumulated on the filter.

The filter may be a second filter and the means for up-
concentrating may comprise a first filter for retaining the
microobjects, the first filter having a larger area than the
second filter. In this context, the term “area” has the usual
meaning given to it in the art, i.e. it refers to that part of
the surface of the filter that is to be exposed to the fluid
entering the filter. Parts of the surface of the filter which are
not intended to be exposed to the inflowing fluid do not contribute
to the area of the filter. For example, the area of the first filter
may be more than 3, 10, 50, 100, 500, 1000, 3000, or 10000
times larger than the area of the second filter. Thus the first
filter may have a considerably greater maximum possible
throughput than the second filter. The first filter and the sec-
ond filter may have the same pore size and/or the same pore
structure, e.g. the same pore geometry. For example, the first
filter and the second filter may be made of the same kind of
material. The first filter and the second filter may be mem-
brane filters differing substantially only in their area, the first
filter having a larger area than the second filter. The first filter
and the second filter may be circular membrane filters differ-
ing only in their diameter, the first filter having a larger diam-
eter than the second filter.

The filter may be a second filter and the means for up-
concentrating may comprise a first filter for retaining the
microobjects, the first filter having a higher maximum
throughput than the second filter. For example, the first
filter may have a larger effective filtering area than the second filter.
Alternatively or additionally, the means for up-concentrating
may, for example, comprise a centrifuge for centrifugalizing
the sample fluid, or an evaporator for evaporating at least a
substantial portion of the sample fluid, or an attractor for
attracting the microobjects to a collection zone.

The first filter may comprise a dye for staining the micro-
objects. The dye may be contained in a coating of the filter. Upon
exposing the filter to a to-be-analyzed liquid, the dye would
be released into the liquid. In the case of a soluble filter, the
dye could be embedded in the filter. It would be released when
the filter is dissolved. Furthermore, the dye may be contained
in coating of the soluble filter, and the filter may contain a
substance for deactivating the dye embedded in the filter.

The first filter may comprise a perforated aluminum foil or
an organic polymer that is soluble in an organic solvent. Thus
the first filter could be dissolved after the microobjects have
accumulated on it.

The system or apparatus may comprise a micro fluidic chip
comprising the filter. The microfluidic chip could have an
optical window through which the microobjects could be
imaged. It could further be adapted for performing other
assays, e.g. chemical assays, on the microobjects.

**BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS**

FIG. 1 schematically illustrate accumulating microobjects
using a first filter and a syringe.

FIG. 2 schematically illustrate accumulating microobjects
using evaporation.

FIG. 3 schematically illustrate accumulating microobjects
by attracting the microobjects toward a surface.

FIG. 4 schematically shows a setup for imaging micro-
objects on a second filter.

FIG. 5 is a flow chart of a method of analyzing a sample
fluid containing organic microobjects.

FIG. 6 schematically illustrate an apparatus for concentra-
ting microobjects and for transferring them to another device.

FIG. 7 schematically illustrate another apparatus for con-
centrating microobjects and for transferring them to another
device.

FIG. 8 schematically illustrate another apparatus for con-
centrating microobjects and for transferring them to another
device.

FIG. 9 schematically illustrates another apparatus for con-
centrating microobjects and for transferring them to another
device.

FIG. 10 schematically illustrates another apparatus for con-
centrating microobjects and for transferring them to another
device.
FIG. 11 schematically illustrates another apparatus for concentrating microorganisms and for transferring them to another device.

FIG. 12 shows experimentally determined numbers and concentrations of bacteria.

FIG. 13 shows amounts of DNA collected with different filters.

FIG. 14 schematically illustrates a method for separating bacteria and human cells.

FIG. 15 shows the number of colony forming units (CFU) of *S. aureus* bacteria at different stages during a filtering process.

FIG. 16 shows the number of colony forming units (CFU) of *S. aureus* bacteria at different stages during a filtering process.

DETAILLED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

Unless specified otherwise, identical or similar reference numerals appearing in different Figures refer to identical or similar components.

According to a preferred embodiment of the invention, a method for rapid microbiological analysis comprises steps for preparing the sample, for up-concentration, for staining the microorganisms with a (fluorescent) dye, for microscopic imaging, and for image analysis, in which the steps for up-concentration comprise a first step for filtering with a large area filter, and a second step for collecting the filter residue (including the possibly present microorganisms) onto a small area, such that this small area matches the field of view of a microscope objective with the required resolution for microscopic imaging, for example a 40x/NA0.65 objective with a field of view of 0.5 mm and allowing for imaging with 0.5 μm resolution. The method allows for microscopic imaging with a single capture (exposure) and so avoids scanning, while at the same time the overall throughput is high because of the first filtering step with a large area filter (filter time is inversely proportional to filter area). In other words, a large volume of sample fluid is quickly filtered thanks to the large surface area of the first filter, and thereafter the second filtration is also quick despite the small area of the second filter because the volume of the sample has been reduced in the first filtering step (up-concentration).

In a first embodiment the large area filter is made of soluble material, and in the second step a solvent is used for dissolving the filter that does not affect bacteria. More precisely, the large area filter is made of a material that is insoluble in the fluid to be examined (usually water-based), but soluble in other solvents. Preferably, the material does not affect the (stained) bacteria. An example could be perforated aluminum foil. The foil does not dissolve in water, but would dissolve in vinegar (diluted acetic acid). Vinegar does not dissolve bacteria. Other examples could be filters made of organic polymers that are affected by organic solvents, e.g. organic polymers which disintegrate when brought into contact with the organic solvent. In the first step the fluid sample is filtered over the large area filter and in the second step the filter including bacteria is dissolved in the second fluid. The resulting liquid sample is smaller in volume than the original sample and can thus be filtered with a small area filter that is not soluble in the second fluid (e.g. a ceramic filter) in a relatively short time span.

In a second embodiment the pumping direction is reversed after the first filtering step and a small part of the fluid and all retained microorganisms are directed towards a small area filter.

In a third embodiment a lateral flow is induced after the first filtering step such that the filter residue is collected onto a sub-area of the filter.

In all of these embodiments a dye, in particular a fluorescent dye, may be added to the fluid during the filtering, so that the micro-organisms are stained during the filtering steps. A preferred embodiment of this would be to include the dye in at least one of the filters. There are several methods for doing this:

(a) Adding the dye as a coating to the filter. Upon exposing the filter to the to-be-analyzed liquid, the dye is released into the liquid.

(b) In the case of a soluble filter (embodiment 1), the dye can be embedded in the filter. Thus it is released when the filter is dissolved.

(c) Adding the dye as a coating, as in (a), and adding a substance that deactivates the dye emitted in the soluble filter, as in (b). An advantage would be that the dye (except the dye taken up by the bacteria) becomes deactivated when the filter is dissolved, so that no bacterial contaminants which arrive after the first filtering step will be stained. This allows for a non-sterile second filtering pass.

Shown in FIG. 1 is a device 20 for concentrating organic microorganisms 12 contained in a sample fluid 10. The sample fluid 10, of macroscopic volume, is contained in a chamber of the device 20, as shown in FIG. 1a. By pushing a syringe piston 14 toward a first filter 16, the sample fluid 10, or at least a substantial portion of it (e.g., at least 50%, or at least 80%, or at least 90%) is forced through the filter 16. The microorganisms 12 are retained by the first filter 16 and accumulate on a surface 18 of the first filter 16 (see FIG. 1b). Thereby at least 50%, or at least 80%, or at least 90%, or at least 99% of the sample fluid 10 is removed from the microorganisms 12. It may be noted that the sample fluid 10 may contain smaller microorganisms not shown in the figure which may pass through the filter 16. In a subsequent step (not shown), the microorganisms 12 are immersed in a transfer fluid having a smaller volume than the sample fluid 10. The transfer fluid is then filtered by a second filter 32 (represented in FIG. 4) to accumulate the microorganisms 12 on a surface 34 of the second filter 32. The transfer fluid may be provided by a portion of the sample fluid 10 that has flown through the first filter 16, or by another fluid, or by a mixture of the sample fluid 10 and another fluid. After accumulating the microorganisms 12 on the second filter 32 (see FIG. 4), they may be detected or imaged by any suitable method, e.g. by scanning microscopy. The first filter 16 may be designed such that the step of up-concentrating more than half of the sample fluid 10 from the microorganisms takes less time than the step of filtering the transfer fluid by the second filter 32. This may be achieved, for example, by choosing the first filter 16 sufficiently large.

Referring now to FIG. 2, there is illustrated an alternative method of removing all or a substantial portion of the sample fluid 10 from the microorganisms 12, in the case where the sample fluid 10 is a liquid. A device 20 comprises a basin having side walls 22 and a bottom plate 24. The sample liquid 10 containing the microorganisms 12 is introduced into the basin 20, as shown in FIG. 2a. The sample liquid 10 has a surface 26 forming an interface between the sample liquid 10 and air or vacuum or another gas. At the surface 26 (evaporation surface) the sample liquid 10 evaporates. The sample liquid 26 may be heated in order to accelerate the evaporation process. Alternatively or additionally, the air/gas contacting the sample liquid 10 at the evaporation surface 26 may be heated, or a hot flow of air/gas along the evaporation surface 26 may be generated. As the sample liquid 26 evaporates, the microorganisms 12 accumulate on the bottom plate 24 of the
basin 20, as shown in FIG. 2b. In a subsequent step, already described above with reference to FIG. 1, the microobjects 12 are transferred to the second filter 32 shown in FIG. 4 and imaged. Again, the proposed method may require a smaller total amount of time as compared to filtering the entire sample liquid 10 by the second filter 32.

FIG. 3 illustrates another method of removing all or a substantial portion of the sample fluid 10 from the microobjects 12, in the case where the sample fluid 10 is a liquid. The sample liquid 10 is contained in a basin having a transparent bottom plate 24. A light source 28 is arranged below the bottom plate 24 to emit light via the bottom plate 24 into the basin, as illustrated in FIG. 3a. The light attracts light-sensitive microorganisms 12 toward the bottom plate 24, as shown in FIG. 3b, where they accumulate. Alternatively, instead of using a light source, an electrical field may be applied across the sample liquid 10 to attract charged microobjects, for example, negatively charged bacteria, to the bottom plate 24. In a subsequent step, shown in FIG. 3c, the sample fluid 10 is released through a microfluidic outlet 30, the microobjects remaining behind on the bottom plate 24. In a subsequent step, already described above with reference to FIG. 1, the microobjects 12 are transferred to the second filter 32 shown in FIG. 4 and imaged. Again, the proposed method may require a smaller total amount of time as compared to filtering the entire sample liquid 10 by the second filter 32.

Shown in FIG. 4 is a simplified representation of an exemplary imaging system for imaging the microobjects 12 accumulated on the surface 34 of the second filter 32. The entire second filter 32 fits into the field of view of a microscope objective 36 shown in the Figure as a single lens, usually comprising a lens system. The microscope objective 36 generates an optical image of the microobjects 12 on an image sensor 38. The image sensor 38 may, for example, comprise a charge coupled device (CCD). The image sensor 38 is coupled to an information processing device (not shown), for example, a personal computer, for processing an output signal delivered by the image sensor 38.

FIG. 5 represents a flow chart of a method of analyzing a sample fluid containing organic microobjects. The method comprises the successive steps of:

- up-concentrating (S1) the microobjects by removing, in a total time T1, a volume V1 of the sample fluid 10 from the microobjects 12;
- immersing (S2) the microobjects in a transfer fluid; or leaving the microobjects in a remaining portion of the sample fluid, the remaining portion of the sample fluid then providing the transfer fluid;
- filtering (S3), in a total time T3, a volume V3 of the transfer fluid by the filter 32, thereby accumulating the microobjects on the filter; and
- generating (S4) an image of the microobjects accumulated on the second filter.

In the method, the throughput V1/T1 of the up-concentration step S1 is greater than the throughput V3/T3 of the filtering step S3. The times spent on the steps of up-concentrating S1, immersing S2, filtering S3, and generating the image S4 are T1, T2, T3, and T4, respectively. Note that the total time T1 + T3 + T4 is spent on the steps of up-concentrating S1, immersing S2, filtering S3, and generating the image S4 can be considerably shorter than the total time T1 + T2 that would be spent on filtering the entire sample fluid 10 by the filter 32 and imaging the microobjects 12 on that filter 32.

Referring now to FIGS. 6 to 11, these Figures illustrate different designs of a device for reducing a macroscopic (ml) sample volume to a microscopic volume (μl) that can be further transferred into a microfluidic system, while still containing the initial amount of pathogens. The concentration of pathogens is highly increased as the sample volume decreases. A prefilter may be arranged for separating out human cells before the sample enters the microfluidic chip, in order to facilitate downstream analysis processes and to obtain a maximum amount of relevant data from both a bacterial and a mammalian analysis. The prefilter may in particular increase the sensitivity of the subsequent on-chip analysis. Furthermore, it is proposed to combine macroscopic physical filters or membranes of different pore sizes with a microfluidic system.

In particular, it is proposed to combine one or more macroscopic filters with a microfluidic system, in order to harvest bacteria, fungi or yeast from a large volume and elute in a microfluidic volume, thereby enriching the concentration of the above mentioned pathogens and decreasing the sample volume for further processing. Preferably, the invention consists of at least two filters, where the first one has a larger pore-size to filter out e.g. human cells that are not needed for the downstream analysis while passing through the bacteria of interest and the second filter has a pore-size smaller than the size of the bacteria of interest.

Referring now to FIG. 6, there is shown a macro-to-microfluidic filter device 20 for reducing the volume of a sample fluid containing organic microobjects, by removing the largest part of the fluid from the microobjects. The device 20 comprises a syringe lock or cover plate 46, a syringe piston 14, an inlet 58, a prefilter 40 (upper filter), a first ring 48, a first filter 16 (lower filter), a second ring 50, an outlet 30, a bottom plate or plug 52, a microfluidic inlet 42 and a microfluidic outlet 44. The microfluidic outlet 44 connects to a space downstream of the prefilter 40 and upstream of the lower filter 16. The microfluidic inlet 42 connects to a space downstream of the lower filter 16. The device 20 is operated as follows. A sample fluid having a volume of the order of 0.1 ml to 10 ml is introduced via the inlet 58 into a space upstream of the prefilter 40. The piston 14 is then pushed downward, forcing the sample fluid to flow through the prefilter 40 and then through the lower filter 16. The prefilter 40 separates out e.g. large mammalian cells. The lower filter 16 captures e.g. bacteria. The lower filter 16 has pores extending all the way through it. Thus the e.g. bacteria do not become stuck as could be the case for example with a membrane matrix. The lower filter 16 may, for example, be a polycarbonate (“Nucleopore”) filter or a silicon membrane filter, e.g. as manufactured by fhXXion. In a subsequent second step, the plug 52 is inserted into the second ring 50 to close the microfluidic outlet 30. At the same time a microfluidic channel, through the microfluidic inlet 42, along the lower filter 16, and through the microfluidic outlet 44, is opened. The bacteria on the lower filter 16 are then eluted in a microfluidic volume (e.g. 100 μl) of a transfer liquid that is forced through the microfluidic channel, e.g. by a piston (not shown). The entire bacteria can be eluted for further treatment, or only the DNA can be transferred with the transfer liquid. In the latter case, the transfer liquid (elution buffer) can also be a lysis buffer or lysis can take place by some other mechanism, such as on a Whatman ELUTE lysis paper, using heat or ultrasound. The micro- and macrofluidic channels can be opened and closed via e.g. valves (mechanically, thermally or otherwise activated) or, as illustrated in the Figure, by removing or inserting the plug 52.

FIG. 7a is a schematic representation of a device 20 similar to the one described above with reference to FIG. 6. A liquid sample 10 having volume of around 1 to 5 ml is pushed through the device 20 by means of a syringe (not shown) and flows through the macroscopic passage 50, 40, 16, 30 includ-
ing the prefilter 40 (upper filter) and the first filter 16 (lower filter). After closing the macroscopic passage, a pathogen stuck on the lower filter 16 is immersed in a transfer liquid (elution buffer) flowing into the device 20 via the microfluidic inlet 42, along the lower filter 16, and out of the device 20 via the microfluidic outlet 44. In the example shown, the cover plate 46 (shown distinctively in Fig. 7b), the upper ring 48 (shown distinctively in Fig. 7c) and the lower ring 50 (shown distinctively in Fig. 7d) are realized by means of double-sided adhesive tapes. In this case, the end of the macrofluidic passage can be closed by adhering tape to a solid substrate after the macrofluidic liquid (e.g. the fluid sample) has been pumped through. Also more than one fluidic connection can be integrated per tape. Alternatively, the parts of the device 20 which contain the prefilter 40 and the first filter 16, and which provide a housing, can be made of, for example, plastic.

According to another embodiment, represented in FIG. 8, the transfer fluid is provided by a portion of the sample liquid 10 that has passed through the first filter 16. After accumulating the microobjects on the first filter 16, said portion of the sample liquid 10, i.e. the transfer fluid, is forced to flow back through the first filter 16 (in the upward direction in the Figure). This is achieved by compressing a chamber 54 containing e.g. water, air or oil (see FIG. 8b). The microobjects previously accumulated on the first filter 16 are thus immersed in the transfer fluid. The volume of the transfer fluid is by an order of magnitude smaller than the volume of the sample liquid 10 that was initially introduced into the device 20. Thus the transfer fluid leaving the device 20 via the microfluidic outlet 44 has a higher concentration of the microobjects. The transfer fluid can be processed further downstream, e.g. in a microfluidic chip connected to the microfluidic outlet 44. A valve (not shown in the Figure) may be arranged between the compressible chamber 54 and the space on the first filter 16 where the microobjects are accumulated. Both the valve and the compressible chamber can be controlled with e.g. temperature.

In a different version of this scheme, illustrated in a simplified manner in FIG. 9, electrically charged or dipolar microobjects, such as bacteria or DNA, that have been trapped on or in the first filter 16, are transferred from the first filter 16 to a small chamber 56 via a DC or AC (dielectrophoresis) electric field. It is noted that bacteria generally carry a negative electric charge. The electric field can be generated by applying a voltage between the first filter 16 and the chamber 56. In the example shown, the first filter 16 is set to zero potential (GND) while the chamber is set to a positive potential (V). The chamber 56 can be connected to a microfluidic chip. The first filter 16 may comprise a gel material, e.g. a porous gel. Movement of the microobjects, e.g. bacteria or DNA, in a transverse (horizontal in the Figure) direction along or through the first filter 16 may thus be facilitated.

Schematically represented in FIG. 10 is a device 20 according to another embodiment. The operating principle of the device 20 is similar to the one described above with reference to FIG. 9 in that the microobjects 12, after passing through the prefilter 40 (upper filter), are transferred to a small chamber 56. However, according to the present embodiment, the first filter 16 is absent. The electrical field separates the microobjects (e.g. bacteria) from the main flow (in the downward direction in the Figure) of the sample fluid 10 and transfers them into the chamber 56. A small gel plug may be provided to prevent the macroscopic flow (i.e. the flow of the sample fluid 10) from spreading into the chamber 56.

FIG. 11 illustrates yet another embodiment. The first filter 16, e.g. a membrane, is made of a filter material or gel that disintegrates in an acidic (high pH) or hot (high temperature) environment value. Examples of gels that dissolve at high pH are polyanions, such as (poly)acrylic acid and (poly)methacrylic acid (Y. Qiu and K. Park, Environment-sensitive hydrogels for drug delivery, Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews, vol. 53, pp.321-339, 2001). Temperature sensitive gels include co-polymers of (poly)ethylene oxide and (poly)propylene oxide (see the cited article by Qiu and Park). In a first step, illustrated in FIG. 11a, the sample fluid 10, of macroscopic volume, is pushed or sucked through the device 20 along a macroscopic channel 58, 40, 16, 30 comprising the inlet 58, the prefilter 40, the first filter 16, and a macrofluidic outlet 30. At the same time, a microfluidic outlet 44 remains closed, e.g. by means of a valve (not shown). In a second step, a small volume of NaOH is introduced into the device via the inlet 58. NaOH on its own or in combination with heat increases the pH and thereby breaks down the first filter 16. In addition, the NaOH may lyse the microobjects, e.g. bacteria, that were accumulated on the first filter 16, for example, to release DNA. In a third step, shown in FIG. 11b, the microfluidic outlet 44 is opened by means of the mentioned valve or by means of another valve (not shown). By blocking both the macrofluidic outlet 30 and the microfluidic outlet 44 for a while, a certain incubation time may be provided.

Experiments in which a macrofluidic sample was prepared from a macrofluidic sample are described in the following.

Separation of human cells and bacteria using a prefilter and a first filter: Escherichia coli (E. coli) were cultured over night in brain heart infusion and stained with the BacLight LIVE/DEAD stain. THP-1 cells were stained with a fluorescent marker. In this case the cell growth medium was exchanged for PBS in a centrifugation step. 500 µl of the bacterial suspension was mixed with 500 µl of human THP-1 cells in PBS. The 1 ml of cell+bacteria liquid was first filtered through a Whatman 5 µm pore size polycarbonate filter. 2 ml of air was used to remove the liquid from the filter. The filtrated suspension and the filter were analyzed using a fluorescence microscope. The 500 µl of the filtrate suspension was then filtrated a second time through a 0.22 µm millipore filter. With a 5x objective, only monocytes were detected, whereas the E. coli were clearly seen with the 20x. As expected, the concentration of bacteria is much higher than the concentration of THP-1 cells in these samples (approx 109 vs. 106 cells/ml). The E. coli were too many to count but the monocytes were at 5x magnification on average 26±4 cells/frame (3 frames). Frames from the 5x objective and the 20x objective showed the suspension after filtration through the 5 µm polycarbonate filter (prefilter). The prefilted removed most or all of the monocytes and allowed the bacteria to pass. However, a dead volume in the Whatman filter holder is rather large and about half of the sample was therefore lost there. With the 5x objective, the number of monocytes was 0 in all frames (4 frames). From plating experiments, it was seen that when filtering low concentrations of bacteria (<500 CFU/ml) through the 5 µm filters, roughly 100% of the bacteria pass, which shows that few if any bacteria stick to the polycarbonate filters. After the final filtration through the 0.22 µm filters, no more cells were found in the sample liquid.

Up-concentration of bacteria by eluting 0.22 µm filter on bench: In a next step, we have also made experiments where the bacteria that have been captured on a 0.22 µm filter are subsequently eluted in a smaller volume to increase the concentration. Three such experiments have been conducted, with very similar results. With E. coli, 500 µl of bacterial suspension was filtered through a 0.22 µm filter. The filter was then placed in 50 µl PBS buffer and vortexed. After 5 min, the filter was removed and the suspension cultured. The starting suspension contained ~200 CFU/ml (100 CFU in 500 µl) and
the elution from the filter ~1000 CFU/ml (50 CFU in 50 µl), meaning a 5x up-concentration. Also Staphylococcus aureus (S. aureus) have been filtered and eluted in the same way (vortex in smaller volume waited 5 min). In these experiments, 1 ml of suspensions with 160 and 98 CFU/ml, respectively, were filtered through 0.22 µm filters. The bacteria where then eluted in 100 µl of TBS growth medium and cultured. The number of S. aureus in the 100 µl medium was in this case 84 (‘840 CFU/ml) and 78 (‘780 CFU/ml), respectively. Thus, the final concentration after these experiments was 525% and 795% of the starting concentration. The filtrate (solution that passes filter) was also cultured as a control and resulted in 0 colonies in all the above experiments. The results are summarized in FIGS. 12.

NaOH lysis and elution on bench: In similar experiments as described above, the filters with captured S. aureus from 1 ml of the bacterial suspension, containing 98 CFU/ml, have been placed in 100 µl NaOH, vortexed and incubated for 5 min. Thereafter, the filters were removed and the DNA in the NaOH was extracted with ethanol precipitation. FIG. 13 summarizes the corresponding qPCR results. Bacteria caught on 0.22 µm filters were simultaneously lysed and eluted with NaOH. As seen from the SA filter-samples, a significant amount of S. aureus DNA is eluted. A significant amount (close to the 0.01 ng reference) of S. aureus DNA were recovered from both filters that should capture bacteria (‘SA filter NaOH 25C’ and ‘SA filter NaOH 95C’), when starting with only 98 bacteria per ml. Apart from one filter that was used with only TSB medium (TSB filter 25C), all negative controls and filtrates showed little or no DNA content.

Up-concentration of bacteria and separation from human cells: FIG. 14 illustrates an experimental scheme for separating bacteria and human cells, followed by enrichment on the second filter. In a corresponding filtering experiment with two filters (5 µm and 0.22 µm), the input liquid was a mixed solution of 10000 U937 human cells/ml and 22 S. aureus/ml. 2 ml of the mixed sample solution was filtered through a 5 µm filter. 1 ml of this filtrate was subsequently filtered through a 0.22 µm filter. Bacteria were eluted from both filters in 100 l medium for 5 minutes. Samples from all stages of the filtering sequence were analyzed through culturing. The results of the experiment are shown in FIGS. 15 and 16. Due to the “dead volume” of the filter holders that were used, all liquid that passed the first filter could go through the second filter. However, almost no bacteria were lost on the first filter and the elution from the second filter had a significantly higher concentration of S. aureus compared to the input sample. Taken together, the above experiments prove that the combination of filters can be used to separate bacteria from human cells and increase the concentration of bacteria by reducing the sample volume.

FIG. 15 shows the absolute numbers of S. aureus at each stage of the filtering process. Note that only half of the filtrate from filter 1 is used as input liquid to filter 2. 100 pl of this filtrate was cultured for analysis but 1 ml was filtered through filter 2. No bacteria could be detected in the filtrate of filter 2.

FIG. 16 shows the concentration of S. aureus at each stage of the filtering process. The concentration in ‘Pass filter 1’ should be equal to or less than the input concentration. The slightly over-estimated value is due to that the concentration is calculated from analysis of only 100 µl (containing on average 3 bacteria in this case). No bacteria could be detected in the filtrate of filter 2.

To summarize, particular techniques are proposed for reducing an initial sample volume from ml to µl without losing significant numbers of bacteria for further analysis. The µl volume thus obtained can be further analyzed using microfluidic lab-on-a-chip systems, or be imaging in a single capture by an optical microscope, especially when a low concentration of bacteria makes it necessary to start with a “large” volume of sample liquid.

The invention may find application in rapid microbiological analysis for industrial applications (food processing, pharmaceutical, personal care products, beverage, environmental, and industrial process sectors) as well as for clinical applications. The proposed method may be particularly well suited for detecting and enumerating microorganisms. Furthermore, the use of specific stains (e.g. immunolabelling) may offer a route to selective detection and identification. A particular application of the invention may be on-chip detection of bacteria causing infectious diseases and screening for antibiotic susceptibility. Other applications are e.g. food and water quality testing as well as detection of viruses in mammalian cells.

The invention is proposed within the framework of the research projects “Xyall: Digital cell imaging for life sciences and pathology” and is related to the Rapid Microbiology venture in the Healthcare Incubator.

While the invention has been illustrated and described in detail in the drawings and in the foregoing description, the drawings and the description are to be considered exemplary and not restrictive. The invention is not limited to the disclosed embodiments. Equivalents, combinations, and modifications described above may also be realized without departing from the scope of the invention.

The verb “to comprise” and its derivatives do not exclude the presence of other steps or elements in the matter the “comprise” refers to. The indefinite article “a” or “an” does not exclude a plurality of the subjects the article refers to. In particular, the term “microobjects” does not exclude the presence of other microobjects. For example, the sample fluid may, in addition to the microobjects referred to in claim 1, comprise microobjects which are not transferred to the second filter or which are lost during the process.

It is also noted that a single unit may provide the functions of several means mentioned in the claims. The mere fact that certain features are recited in mutually different dependent claims does not indicate that a combination of these features cannot be used to advantage. Any reference signs in the claims should not be construed as limiting the scope.

The invention claimed is:

1. A method of analyzing a sample fluid containing organic microobjects, the method comprising the steps of:
   a. up-concentrating the microobjects by removing, in a total time T1, a volume V1 of the sample fluid from the microobjects;
   b. immersing the microobjects in a transfer fluid; or leaving the microobjects in a remaining portion of the sample fluid, the remaining portion of the sample fluid then providing the transfer fluid;
   c. filtering, in a total time T2, a volume V2 of the transfer fluid by a filter, thereby accumulating the microobjects on the filter;
   d. generating a microscopic image of the microobjects accumulated on the filter;
   e. wherein the throughput V2/T2 of the step of up-concentrating is greater than the throughput V1/T1 of the filtering step.

2. A method according to claim 1, wherein the up-concentrating step is performed using a first filter and the filtering step is performed using a second filter, the first filter having a larger area than the second filter.

3. The method as set forth in claim 1, wherein the time spent on the steps of up-concentrating, immersing, filtering,
and generating the image is shorter than the time spent on filtering the entire sample fluid by the filter and imaging the microobjects on that filter.

4. The method as set forth in claim 1, wherein \( V_3 \) is less than \( 0.2 \times V_1 \).

5. The method as set forth in claim 1, comprising a step of prefiltering the sample fluid by a prefilter, the prefilter retaining at most an insignificant fraction of the microobjects; the step of prefiltering being performed prior to the step of filtering.

6. The method as set forth in claim 1, wherein the filter is a second filter and the step of up-concentrating involves filtering the sample fluid by a first filter, thereby accumulating the microobjects on the first filter; dissolving the first filter.

7. The method as set forth in claim 6, wherein the step of immersing involves:
   - the transfer fluid flowing along the first filter; or
   - a portion of the sample fluid flowing back through the first filter.

8. The method as set forth in claim 1, wherein the step of up-concentrating involves:

9. The method as set forth in claim 1, wherein generating the image involves:
   - generating an optical image of the microobjects; or
   - scanning the microobjects.

10. The method as set forth in claim 1, comprising releasing a dye into the sample fluid or into the transfer fluid.

11. The method of claim 1 wherein the filter is sized so that the entire filter fits into a field of view of a microscope objective.

12. The method of claim 1 wherein the microobjects are left in a remaining portion of the sample fluid and the remaining portion of the sample fluid provides the transfer fluid.

13. The method of claim 1 wherein the sample fluid is a liquid and the up-concentrating of the microobjects is performed by removing, in a total time \( T_1 \), a volume \( V_1 \) of the sample liquid from the microobjects.

* * * * *